## SEMMELWEIS EGYETEM DOKTORI ISKOLA

Ph.D. értekezések

3188.

## DOMOKOS ZSUZSANNA

Fogorvostudományi kutatások

című program

Programvezető: Dr. Varga Gábor, egyetemi tanár Témavezető: Dr. Németh Orsolya, egyetemi docens

## BEYOND THE LIMITATIONS OF CONVENTIONAL PERIODONTAL CARE: MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH AND NOVEL METHODS

Ph.D. Thesis

## Zsuzsanna Domokos, DMD

Translational Medicine Program Doctoral College, Dental Research Division SEMMELWEIS UNIVERSITY





Supervisor:

Official reviewers:

Head of the Complex Examination Committee:

Members of the Complex Examination Committee:

Gábor Varga, Prof., Ph.D. Orsolya Németh, DMD, Ph.D.

Oleh Andrukhov, Prof., Ph.D., Victor-Vlad Costan, MD, DMD, Prof., Ph.D.

Ács Nándor, Prof., MD, Ph.D.

Ákos Nagy, DMD, Ph.D.; Andrea Harnos, Ph.D.; Victor-Vlad Costan, MD, DMD, Prof., Ph.D.; Károly Bartha, DMD, Ph.D.

Budapest 2025

# "Every mountain top is within reach if you just keep climbing."

Barry Finlay, mountaineer and author of Kilimanjaro and Beyond

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	. LI	ST OF ABBREVIATIONS	6
2	. ST	UDENT PROFILE	7
	2.1.	Vision and mission statement, specific goals	7
	2.2.	Scientometrics	7
	2.3.	Future plans	8
3	. SU	MMARY OF THE PHD	9
4	. GI	RAPHICAL ABSTRACT 1	0
5	. IN	TRODUCTION 1	1
	5.1.	Overview of the topic 1	1
	5.1	.1. What is the topic? 1	1
	5.1	.2. What is the problem to solve? 1	1
	5.1	.3. What is the importance of the topic? 1	1
	5.1	.4. What would be the impact of our research results? 1	2
	5.2.	Periodontitis overview1	3
	5.3.	The effect of periodontitis on systemic health 1	3
	5.4.	Key in disease treatment: early diagnosis 1	5
	5.5.	Role of MMP-8 in periodontal destruction 1	5
	5.6.	Detection and measurement methods for MMP-8 1	6
6	. OF	BJECTIVES 1	7
	6.1.	Study I 1	7
	6.2.	Study II 1	7
7	. M	ETHODS 1	8
	7.1.	Protocol and registration	8

	7.2.	Lite	erature search	18
	7.3.	Elig	gibility criteria	18
	7.3.	1.	Study I	18
	7.3.	.2.	Study II	19
	7.4.	Stu	dy selection	20
	7.5.	Dat	a collection	20
	7.5.	1.	Study I	20
	7.5.	.2.	Study II	20
	7.6.	Qua	ality assessment	21
	7.7.	Dat	a synthesis and analysis	21
	7.7.	1.	Study I	21
	7.7.	.2.	Study II	22
8.	. RES	SULT	ΓS	24
	8.1.	Stu	dy I - Investigating the association between IBD and periodontitis	24
	8.1.	1.	Search and selection, characteristics of the included studies	24
	8.1.	.2.	Results of the quantitative analysis	29
	8.1.	.3.	Results of qualitative analysis, additional analyses	32
	8.1.	.4.	Quality assessment	33
	8.2.	Stu	dy II – Investigating the role of MMP-8 in periodontal diseases	33
	8.2.	.1.	Search and selection, characteristics of the included studies	33
	8.2.	.2.	Results of the quantitative analysis	39
	8.2.	.3.	Results of qualitative analysis, additional analyses	42
	8.2.	.4.	Quality assessment	44
9.	. DIS	SCUS	SION	45
	9.1.	Sun	nmary of findings, international comparisons	45

9.2.	S	trengths	49
9.3.	L	imitations	49
10.	COl	NCLUSIONS	51
10.1		Study I	51
10.2	2.	Study II	51
11.	IMP	PLEMENTATION FOR PRACTICE	52
12.	IMP	PLEMENTATION FOR RESEARCH	53
13.	IMP	PLEMENTATION FOR POLICYMAKERS	54
14.	FUI	TURE PERSPECTIVES	55
15.	REF	FERENCES	56
16.	BIB	LIOGRAPHY	74
16.1		Publications related to thesis	74
16.2	2.	Publications not related to thesis	74
17.	ACI	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	75

#### 1. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

aMMP-8: active-matrix metalloproteinase-8

- BOP: bleeding on probing
- CAL: clinical attachment loss
- CD: Crohn's diseases
- CI: confidence interval

ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

GCF: gingival crevicular fluid

GI: gingival index

IBD: inflammatory bowel diseases

IFMA: time-resolved immunofluorometric assay

IL: interleukin

MD: mean difference

MMP-8: matrix metalloproteinase-8

OR: odds ratio

PD: periodontitis

PI: plaque index

PoC: point of care

PPD: probing pocket depth

SD: standard deviation

SE: standard error

TIMP: tissue inhibitors of MMP

tMMP-8: total-matrix metalloproteinase-8

UC: ulcerative colitis

#### 2. STUDENT PROFILE

#### 2.1. Vision and mission statement, specific goals

My vision is to advance interdisciplinary knowledge by exploring the association between oral health conditions and systemic diseases, with the aim of enhancing collaborative treatment approaches between dental and medical professionals.



My mission is to integrate a multidisciplinary approach into clinical practice, grounded in comprehensive scientific understanding.

My specific goals are to identify a potential risk population in periodontology, thereby contributing to the optimization of treatment strategies for patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Additionally, I aim to evaluate the reliability of a novel biomarker in periodontics, which holds promise for a significant breakthrough in the diagnosis and management of periodontitis.

	Ι
Number of publications:	3
	10.1
Cumulative IF:	10.1
Ay IE/publication:	2.26
Av in/publication.	5.50
Ranking (Sci Mago):	01.3
	Q11.5
Number of publications related to the	2
subject of the thesis:	
Cumulativa IE.	7.2
	1.5
Av IF/publication:	3.65
	5.05
Ranking (Sci Mago):	01:2
	<b>`</b>
Number of citations on Google Scholar:	30
Number of citations on MTMT	28
(in day and and).	
(independent):	
H-index:	2
11-IIIUUA+	

#### 2.2. Scientometrics

The detailed bibliography of the student can be found on page 74.

#### 2.3. Future plans

I firmly believe that learning is a lifelong process, driven by continuous curiosity and the pursuit of knowledge. New research questions often arise from clinical practice. Therefore, I plan to pursue ongoing professional development, staying up-to-date with scientific advancements and promptly integrating them into patient care. By maintaining an open-minded approach in clinical practice, I aim to identify emerging questions that can be addressed through scientific inquiry.

#### 3. SUMMARY OF THE PHD

Periodontitis is a leading cause of tooth loss and edentulism among adults. It is a significant health concern affecting billions of individuals worldwide with substantial financial burdens. Beyond being a serious oral condition, it also impairs systemic health. It was linked with several systemic diseases, including cardiovascular disorders, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and diabetes mellitus. Also, recently, our research explored its potential association with IBDs. Given its irreversible damage to the tooth-supporting structures and systemic implications, the main focus of our scientific work was to investigate novel methods in periodontitis diagnostics, which could improve clinical outcomes and highlight the importance of incorporating a multidisciplinary perspective into the management and treatment of periodontitis.

Our first systematic review and meta-analysis examined the association between periodontitis and IBDs. Despite the rising prevalence of IBDs and their known extraintestinal manifestations, including oral symptoms, this relationship has received limited attention. Our analysis revealed that IBDs, including Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), when assessed separately, are associated with periodontitis. Additionally, we explored the reverse relationship, and tendencies suggest that periodontitis may be associated with an increased risk of developing subsequent UC but not CD. These findings underline the need for interdisciplinary collaborations between dentists and gastroenterologists to enhance treatment strategies and the quality of life of affected patients.

The second study aimed to evaluate the reliability of a novel biomarker, salivary matrix metalloproteinase-8, in periodontitis diagnostics. While gingivitis remains a reversible condition affecting the periodontium, it can progress into periodontitis in susceptible individuals, making early-stage diagnostics essential. Our results showed that salivary MMP-8 levels significantly differ among patients with periodontitis, gingivitis, and a healthy periodontium, with the highest levels observed in periodontitis cases and the lowest in controls. Thus, salivary MMP-8 measurement could be a reliable tool to distinguish gingivitis and periodontitis from a healthy periodontium and differentiate between these conditions. However, further diagnostic meta-analyses are needed to establish precise cut-off values before clinical implementation.

#### 4. GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



						Thenyon	
	E	LISA		FMA	Luminex		
	MD (ng/ml)	CI	MD (ng/ml)	CI	MD (ng/ml)	CI	
Periodontitis compared to control	318.93	205.48-432.37	239.02	62.20-415.83	183.38	178.92-187.84	
Gingivitis compared to control	191.85	174.56-209.15	91.96	-63.99-247.91	122.82	64.19-181.45	
Periodontittis compared to gingivitis	196.39	-24.33417.10	86.18	0.10-172.27	112.04	56.15-167.92	

Salivary MMP-8 measurement may be reliable method for distinguishing gingivitis and periodontitis from healthy periodontium, and for distinguishing between the two different diseases



CENTRE FOR TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE

SEMMELWEIS.HU/TMK

TMK@SEMMELWEIS-UNIV.HU

#### 5. INTRODUCTION

#### 5.1. Overview of the topic

#### 5.1.1. What is the topic?

The primary focus of our studies is to explore innovative methods to improve the diagnostics of periodontal diseases and to identify a potential risk population in periodontics.

#### 5.1.2. What is the problem to solve?

Periodontitis is not solely an oral condition. The pathogenesis is characterized by altered immune-inflammatory responses, dysbiosis and it can also contribute to systemic inflammation [1]. It is known that periodontitis is associated with several systemic diseases, like diabetes mellitus, stroke, preterm birth, etc [2]. Even though the prevalence of IBDs are growing, and similar alterations to the immune-inflammatory response, and microbiome characterize their pathogenesis [3], the association between IBDs and periodontitis has not yet gained enough interest.

Furthermore, periodontitis affects 50% to 90% of the global adult population [4], and with causing irreversible damage, it is one of the leading causes of edentulism [5], thereby making it a serious health issue. Even though the development of periodontitis can be prevented. With adequate treatment, its progression and future destruction can be decelerated and halted. Therefore, the primary focus of studies should be on establishing reliable and straightforward screening methods.

#### 5.1.3. What is the importance of the topic?

In periodontitis, the immune-inflammatory process results in the loss of periodontal tissues, which can lead to tooth loss or even edentulism [5], severely impairing chewing ability. These outcomes can contribute to additional health issues and have significant psychological consequences, potentially leading to depression [6]. Furthermore, it may adversely impact the progression and clinical outcomes of pre-existing systemic diseases or conditions.

The prevalence of IBDs are increasing, with the highest annual incidence of Crohn's disease (CD) in Europe at 12.7 per 100,000 person-years and ulcerative colitis (UC) at 24.3 per 100,000 person-years [7]. Despite advances in understanding, the current level

of knowledge indicates that IBDs remain incurable. It is of main priority that patients with life-long diseases are informed about the associated risk factors. The irreversible tissue destruction caused by periodontitis can be prevented with regular dental screenings and if proper oral hygiene is introduced in advance. Therefore, increased awareness and multidisciplinary collaboration between gastroenterologists and dentists can substantially improve the quality of life for IBD patients.

The keystone of periodontitis therapy is prevention; if prevention is not possible, regular screening and follow-up are essential [8]. It is of utmost priority to develop easy and accessible methods that both periodontists and general dentists can utilize. The feasibility of various salivary biomarkers for detecting periodontal pathology is being extensively investigated, with matrix metalloproteinase-8 (MMP-8) emerging as the most promising candidate. However, comprehensive systemic evaluations are necessary before integrating saliva MMP-8 measurement into routine practice and investing in the necessary equipment.

#### 5.1.4. What would be the impact of our research results?

Periodontitis treatment is administered by dentists, whereas IBD treatment falls under the care of gastroenterologists. However, the bidirectional association between these conditions necessitates a multidisciplinary approach in managing IBD. Furthermore, future studies aiming to explore the reasons for this association may contribute to a deeper understanding of the pathogenesis of these diseases individually.

Conventional methods for diagnosing and monitoring periodontal disease, (visual inspection, plaque assessment, periodontal probing, radiology) [9] are time-consuming and may be inconvenient for the patient. Moreover, despite the availability of clinical and radiological diagnostic tools, diagnosing early-stage periodontal disease and monitoring its progression remains challenging [10]. Salivary MMP-8 represents a straightforward and rapid diagnostic approach that has the potential to complement or replace conventional diagnostic methods, pending systematic evaluations confirming its reliability.

#### 5.2. Periodontitis overview

According to findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, severe periodontal disease affects more than one billion individuals globally [11]. Thus, periodontal disease is acknowledged as one of the most prevalent oral conditions worldwide.

Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by progressive destruction of the tooth-supporting apparatus (periodontium), including the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone [12]. It is initiated by the accumulation of a dental plaque biofilm at and beneath the gingival margin, which progressively becomes dysbiotic [13]. This dysbiotic microbiota disrupts the host immune-inflammatory response, leading to further dysbiosis and subsequent destruction of periodontal tissues [14]. Primary characteristics of periodontitis encompass gingival inflammation, clinical attachment loss (CAL), radiographic signs of alveolar bone loss, the existence of periodontal pockets, bleeding upon probing, and tooth mobility [15].

#### 5.3. The effect of periodontitis on systemic health

Periodontitis is a multifactorial disease. Along with dental biofilm accumulation due to poor oral hygiene, the disease development is influenced by complex interactions among specific bacterial pathogens, destructive host inflammatory and immune responses, and various environmental and systemic risk factors [16].

Several systemic diseases are known to increase susceptibility to periodontitis, including diabetes mellitus, hematological disorders, and immunodeficiencies [17, 18].

Periodontitis is not only a localized oral disease but also exerts significant adverse effects on systemic health. The dissemination of periodontal bacteria from infected pockets into the bloodstream can lead to various severe health issues [15]. The pathogenesis include the translocation of periodontal pathogens and inflammatory mediators into systemic circulation. This process can trigger or exacerbate systemic inflammatory responses, leading to a bacteremia that initiates an inflammatory cascade. Consequently, this contributes to the development and progression of various systemic diseases [19]. Research has established associations between periodontitis and a range of systemic conditions, including coronary heart disease, stroke, preterm birth, respiratory disorders, rheumatoid arthritis, neurological disorders, and malignancies [20-26]. Recent studies have further explored the potential link between periodontitis and other diseases, such as IBD.

Inflammatory bowel diseases encompass chronic inflammatory conditions of the intestines, primarily including two forms: Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. While CD affects the entire gastrointestinal tract, UC is limited to the rectum and colon. Endoscopically, the CD is characterized by skip lesions with transmural inflammation, whereas UC presents with continuous mucosal inflammation in the affected areas [27, 28]. Additionally, IBDs exhibit extra-intestinal manifestations. These include skin conditions like erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum, joint issues such as peripheral and axial arthropathies, hepatobiliary disorders like primary sclerosing cholangitis, and eye conditions including episcleritis and uveitis [29]. Notably, IBDs can also impact the oral cavity, leading to complications such as cobblestoning, mucosal tags, aphthous ulcerations, and pyostomatitis vegetans [30, 31].

The incidence of both CD and UC is increasing, likely due to changes in dietary habits and other factors associated with modern lifestyles [32]. The pathogenesis of these diseases is multifactorial, involving factors similar to those influencing periodontitis. Genetic predisposition, abnormal immune-inflammatory responses, and dysbiosis all contribute to their development [33]. To this end, investigating the association and common processes between these diseases could enhance our understanding of their pathogenesis, leading to improved treatment options. Identifying shared pathways in their development, such as common genetic mutations, immune-inflammatory deficiencies, and common risk factors, may provide valuable insights into both conditions.

However, while IBD is not yet curable and typically involves cycles of relapse and remission throughout life, appropriate medication can significantly reduce the frequency and severity of relapses. The exact triggers of relapses remain partly unclear, making them difficult to prevent reliably. Nevertheless, it is known that systemic inflammation, such as that caused by periodontitis, may potentially trigger a relapse [14].

Our first systematic review and meta-analyses aimed to explore the potential association between two multifactorial immune-inflammatory diseases: IBD and periodontitis. While original studies suggested a correlation between these conditions, comprehensive and consistent data originating from systematic evaluations were lacking. The growing body of literature investigating these associations underscores the importance of considering periodontitis as a significant factor in overall systemic health. It highlights the need for integrated medical and dental care approaches to effectively manage and mitigate these interconnected health risks.

#### 5.4. Key in disease treatment: early diagnosis

Given that periodontitis leads to irreversible destruction of the supporting apparatus of the teeth, treatment strategies should prioritize prevention methods to enhance clinical outcomes. Consequently, the development of reliable diagnostic methods capable of detecting periodontal destruction at very early stages is of paramount importance. Unlike periodontitis, which causes irreversible damage, gingivitis—the inflammatory response of the gingival tissues caused by the accumulation of bacterial biofilm due to inadequate oral hygiene—is a reversible condition [17, 34]. However, without effective oral hygiene and plaque control, gingivitis can progress to periodontitis in susceptible individuals. Therefore, early detection and treatment of gingivitis are crucial in preventing the irreversible destruction associated with periodontitis. According to the new periodontal classification, clinically healthy gingiva exhibits no color alterations or bleeding on probing [35]. Nevertheless, elevated MMP-8 levels during this stage can serve as an early biomarker of gingival inflammation.

#### 5.5. Role of MMP-8 in periodontal destruction

MMPs are Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> -dependent proteolytic enzymes [36]. Based on their substrate specificity and homology, they can be classified into five groups: collagenases (MMP-1, -8, -13), gelatinases (MMP-2, -9), stromelysins (MMP-3, -10, -11), membrane-type MMPs (MMP- 14, -15, -16, -17) and other MMPs [37-39]. MMPs cleave the components of the extracellular matrix, playing crucial roles in numerous physiological and pathological processes. They are essential in tissue remodeling, pathological inflammatory processes, physiological development, angiogenesis, apoptosis, and malignant tissue destruction, among other processes [39, 40]. The activity of MMPs is regulated by tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs). An imbalance in the ratio of TIMPs to MMPs can contribute to the progression of diseases and inflammation [41].

Periodontal disease is characterized by the breakdown of extracellular matrix proteins by proteinases [42]. Periodontitis begins with the colonization of periodontopathogenic

bacteria within the dental biofilm, which subsequently triggers an inflammatory response in the gingival tissues [1]. MMP-8 is initially released in latent forms from activated immune cells, such as neutrophils, during the inflammatory process [43, 44]. The activation of MMP-8 occurs later in the inflammatory cascade [45]. MMP-8 degrades type I collagen, the primary component of the extracellular matrix in the periodontium, leading to irreversible tissue destruction and attachment loss [46].

Several MMP variants (MMP-8, MMP-9, and MMP-13) have been linked to periodontitis. However, MMP-8 predominates, contributing to 90-95% of the collagenolytic activity observed in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) in periodontitis cases [37-39, 47-54]. This underscores MMP-8's pivotal role as an indicator of periodontitis.

#### 5.6. Detection and measurement methods for MMP-8

The elevation of MMP-8 levels in inflamed gingival tissue manifests in samples of gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), which is a serum-like fluid rich in proteins, enzymes, and inflammatory mediators. Nevertheless, the elevation can also be detected in mouth rinse and salivary samples [37, 47, 53, 55, 56]. The use of saliva is a non-invasive approach to fluid diagnostics, and it is increasingly utilized for diagnostic purposes across various medical disciplines [57].

MMP-8 levels can be accurately measured using laboratory-based techniques or chairside tests.

Laboratory techniques such as time-resolved immunofluorometric assay (IFMA) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) are considered the gold standard [51, 58, 59]. ELISA and similar immunodetection methods quantify total MMP-8 (tMMP-8), encompassing both latent and active forms. In contrast, IFMA specifically detects active forms of MMP-8 (aMMP-8) from neutrophils and fibroblasts [51, 58, 60-63].

Chair-side tests are also available, offering qualitative results (positive or negative) [64] or quantitative results (numeric values) [51, 65, 66]. Besides mouthrinse-based rapid tests, measurement of salivary MMP-8 levels is emerging as a promising option in periodontology, attracting increasing interest [67, 68].

#### 6. **OBJECTIVES**

#### 6.1. Study I

Our study aimed to explore the bidirectional relationship between two multifactorial diseases, periodontitis and inflammatory bowel diseases, through a systematic review and meta-analysis. Our goal was to increase the accuracy, therefore, the current level of evidence from previous meta-analyses. Accordingly, we investigated whether patients with IBD are at increased risk of developing periodontitis, and conversely, whether individuals with periodontitis are at increased risk of developing IBD.

#### 6.2. Study II

The objective of our systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate whether MMP-8 can differentiate between periodontitis, gingivitis, and periodontal health. To address this question, we conducted an analysis to determine whether significant differences exist in salivary MMP-8 levels among individuals with periodontitis, gingivitis, and those with healthy periodontium.

#### 7. METHODS

#### 7.1. Protocol and registration

The systematic reviews and meta-analyses were reported according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) 2020 guideline [69]. The recommendation of the Cochrane Handbook's for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.3. were followed [70]. The protocols of the reviews were registered on PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews) in advance (Study I.: CRD42021286161; Study II CRD42022362761).

#### 7.2. Literature search

Our systematic searches were conducted in three electronic databases: MEDLINE (via PubMed), EMBASE, and the Central Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). The exact dates of the searches, and the methods are specified in the original publications [71, 72].

#### 7.3. Eligibility criteria

#### 7.3.1. Study I

All studies investigating the association between IBD and periodontitis were considered eligible for Study I. In order to investigate the association between the aforementioned diseases, two questions were defined and two different PECO frameworks were formed, therefore including studies using different exposed and control groups. The prevalence of periodontitis was retrieved from studies that compared the prevalence of periodontitis in patients with IBD diagnosis compared to non-IBD patients. Furthermore, the reversed association was also investigated. Accordingly, the two separate PECO frameworks were the following: PECO 1: Studies investigating clinical periodontal outcomes and the presence of periodontitis in patients with IBD and non-IBD controls:

Population (P): human subjects, regardless of age or sex (exclusion: edentulous patients); Exposure (E): diagnosis of IBD (including CD or UC) regardless of type of IBD, treatment for IBD, or time of IBD diagnosis;

Control (C): absence of IBD;

main Outcome (O): prevalence of periodontitis; secondary outcome: any clinical

periodontal parameters examined in the study (Probing Pocket Depth (PPD), Gingival Recession (GR), Clinical Attachment Loss (CAL), Bleeding On Probing (BOP), Plaque Index (PI), Gingival Index (GI), Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN), etc.)

PECO 2: Studies providing data about the presence of IBD in patients with periodontitis and patients with healthy periodontium.

P: human subjects, regardless of age or sex;

E: diagnosis of periodontitis accompanied by the definition of the disease as given by the authors;

C: absence of periodontitis;

O: prevalence of IBD (either CD or UC).

Only English language articles were screened. Case reports, case series, in vitro studies, animal studies, review articles, posters, abstracts, letters, and editorials were excluded.

#### 7.3.2. Study II

In eligible studies for Study II, the salivary MMP-8 levels of patients with gingivitis, periodontitis and patients with healthy periodontium were compared. Also, it was evaluted that how MMP-8 level elevation correlates with the conventionally measured clinical periodontal parameters. The PECO framework was the following:

P: adult patients (exclusion: edentolous patients)

E: periodontal diseases (gingivitis, periodontitis)

C: healthy population (healthy periodontium)

O: (a)MMP-8 levels

For the second evaluation, the Population (P), Prognostic factor (F), and Outcome (O) framework was.

P: adult patients (exclusion: edentolous patients)

F: MMP-8 level

O: any clinical periodontal outcome used in the studies (CAL, BOP, PPD etc.)

We accepted any laboratory or chair-side methods for measuring salivary MMP-8 levels. Only cohort and case-control studies published in English were considered eligible, provided they included adequate data for both case and control groups.

#### 7.4. Study selection

Endnote X9 was used for the selection process (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Duplicates were removed both automatically and manually. Then, the articles were selected manually by two independent investigators in a stepwise manner based on their title, abstract, and full-text contents. Cohen's kappa coefficient was calculated to measure interrater reliability during the selection process. Disagreements were resolved by a third author.

#### 7.5. Data collection

Data were extracted independently in a pre-defined Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, United States) data sheet by two review authors. Disagreements were solved by consensus. In the case of missing data, we contacted the corresponding author. In the case of overlapping populations, the studies with more participants were included.

#### 7.5.1. Study I

In the case of Study I the following data were extracted: data regarding the article (first author, year of publication, DOI, study design, country, study size), data regarding participants (demographics and subject characteristics: sample size, mean age, type of IBD, extra data that might affect outcome results: e.g.: smoking habit, comorbidities, drugs used to treat IBD), and the applied definition of periodontitis.

As primary outcome the total number of patients and those with the event of interest was extracted from each study. If this not being possible, the reported OR values were utilized instead. As secondary outcomes, all data regarding periodontal screening methods (CAL, PPD, BOP, PI, GI, etc.) values were collected.

#### 7.5.2. Study II

The following data were extracted from eligible studies for Study II: data regarding the article (first author, year of publication, DOI, study design, country, study size), data regarding participants (demographics and subject characteristics: sample size, female:

male ratio, mean age of participants, smoking habit), definition of periodontitis, gingivitis, device used for measurement.

Data regarding outcomes the level of salivary MMP-8 was extracted from each population separately. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient (r) with the statistical results between MMP-8 and conventionally measured clinical periodontal parameters was extracted.

#### 7.6. Quality assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to assess the quality of the studies included in the meta-analyses. Studies were evaluated based on (1) the selection of study groups, (2) the comparability of groups, (3) and the ascertainment of the exposure [73]. Two review authors carried out the risk of bias assessment. If discrepancies occurred, they were solved by a third author.

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework was used to evaluate the level of certainty of evidence for the outcomes examined in the meta-analyses [74]. On the basis of the assessed domains, the overall quality of evidence was classified for each outcome as high, moderate, low, or very low.

#### 7.7. Data synthesis and analysis

#### 7.7.1. Study I

All statistical analyses were made with R [v4.1.1] using the meta [5.0.0] package.

For continuous outcomes, the difference between the mean of the IBD and the healthy population was used for the effect size measure. To calculate the pooled difference, the sample size, mean, and corresponding standard deviation were extracted from each study.

For categorical outcomes, the odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used for the effect size measure. To calculate the OR, the total number of patients and those with the event of interest (in each group separately for OR) was extracted from each study. If available, the OR values were used if the patient quantity with the event of interest could not be extricated.

As we anticipated considerable between-study heterogeneity, a random-effects model was used to pool effect sizes. Pooled OR was calculated using the Mantel-Haenszel method [75-77]. The exact Mantel-Haenszel method (without continuity correction) was

used to handle zero cell counts, and the inverse variance weighting method was used to calculate the pooled mean difference [78, 79]. For more robust results, a Hartung-Knapp adjustment was applied for the calculations, where the study number was over 5 [80, 81]. To estimate the heterogeneity, the variance measure  $\tau^2$  was used. For continuous outcomes the restricted maximum-likelihood estimator was applied, and for OR measures the Paule-Mandel method [82] recommended by Veroniki et al. [83]was applied to estimate the variance with the Q profile method for the confidence interval. Additionally, between-study heterogeneity was described by means of Cochrane's Q test and Higgins & Thompson's I<sup>2</sup> statistics [84].

Forest plots were used to graphically summarize the results. For mean difference, the effect size measuring the confidence interval of an individual study was calculated based on the t-distribution. Where applicable, we reported the prediction intervals (i.e., the expected range of effects of future studies) of results following the recommendations of IntHout et al. [80]. Outlier and influence analyses were carried out following the recommendations of Harrer et al. [85] and Viechtbauer and Cheung [86]. For analysis with at least 10 studies, funnel plots and Egger's test with a 10% significance level were used to check for potential publication bias [87].

#### 7.7.2. Study II

Throughout the analyses, the recommendations of Harrer, M., Cuijpers, P., Furukawa, T., & Ebert, D. were followed [85]. All statistical analyses were performed with open-source R software [88], supplemented with the packages meta [89], dmetar [90] and metafor [91]. For continuous outcomes, the difference between the mean of exposed and the control population was used for the effect size measure.

Forest plots were used to summarize the results graphically by this analysis as well. If applicable, that is, if the number of studies in a given analysis was larger than 4, then prediction intervals of results were also included on the forest plots.

Mean differences (MD) were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to compare MMP-8 levels among patient groups using a random effect model with inverse variance weighting. The sample size, mean, and standard deviation (SD) were used for the pooled effect calculation. In those cases where the mean and the SD were not given in the articles, they were estimated using the following measures: standard error (SE), the five main quartiles (minimum, 1st quartile median, 3rd quartile and maximum), limits of the 95%

confidence intervals (CI). If the studies provided data only separately for subgroups, their results were combined. Subgroup analyses were performed using mixed-effects models to compare the different salivary MMP-8 measurement methods.

Due to the lack of data and heterogeneity, the correlation coefficient results between MMP-8 values and other clinical parameters were narratively summarized.

#### 8. RESULTS

#### 8.1. Study I - Investigating the association between IBD and periodontitis

#### 8.1.1. Search and selection, characteristics of the included studies

A total of 1,715 records were initially identified by our search query, which was narrowed down to 1,411 records after removing duplicates. These 1,411 studies underwent screening based on their titles and abstracts. Subsequently, the full texts of 23 articles were screened. Additionally, a manual search of reference lists from previous review articles was conducted, resulting in five additional articles, of which two met our inclusion criteria. Ultimately, fourteen articles were included in the qualitative synthesis [92-105], eight in the quantitative synthesis [92, 94, 95, 99, 102-105], and six of these were utilized to address the main outcome for PECO 1 [92, 95, 99, 102-104]. Based on PECO 2, two eligible studies were identified [96, 98]. Some studies were excluded due to specific reasons, such as representing only CD or UC patients, rather than both conditions, or because prevalence data could not be obtained. The flowchart of the selection is shown in Figure 1. Characteristics of the identified case-control and cohort studies for our systematic review and meta-analysis are detailed in Table 1.



Figure 1 - PRISMA Flow Diagram of the screening and selection process for Study I

Study (year)	Study	Country	Patients (mean	Smoking habitual	Drugs used for IBD	Comorbidities	Definition of periodontitis
	design		age)	(smoker; non-smoker;			
				former smoker)			
Grössner-	case-control	Germany	IBD: 62	IBD: 25 (40%); 34	CS (n=20)	no data	Not defined
Screiber et al.			CD: 46	(55%); 3 (5%)	IS (eg. AZA, MTX) (n=24)		
2006			UC: 16	CD: 24; x; x	ASA (n=39)		
			Control: 59	UC: 1; x; x	Anti-TNF (n=13)		
				Control: 24 (41%); 29	AB (n=12)		
				(49%); 6 (10%)	Mono- or combined therapy		
Zervou et al.	case-control	Greece	IBD: 30 (40)	no data	Mesalazine (n=22)	no data	Not defined
2007			CD: 15		AZA (n=2)		
			UC: 15				
			Control: 47 (43)				
Brito et al.	case-control	Brazil	IBD: 179	IBD: 19; 101; 59	No medication (n=9)	IBD: HT, DM,	Having CAL >=3mm in at
2008			CD: 99 (39)	CD: 12 (12.1%); 63	ASA (n=78)	EIM	least four sites in different
			UC: 80 (43)	(63.6%); 24 (24.3%)	IM (n=25)	Control: no data	teeth.
			Control: 74 (40)	UC: 7 (8.7%); 38	ASA+IM (n=26)		
				(47.5%); 35 (43.8%)	ASA+CS (n=17)		
				Control: 9 (12.2%); 57	IM+CS (n=11)		
				(77.0%); 8 (10.8%)	ASA+IM+CS (n=13)		
					Anti-TNF (n=10)		

### Table 1 - Main characteristics of the included studies for Study I

					Metronidazol (n=5)		
					Ciprofloxacin (n=4)		
Habashneh et	case-control	Jordan	IBD: 160	IBD: 48; 78; 34	no data	HT	Presence of four or more
al.			CD: 59	CD: 31 (52.5%); 23			teeth with one or more sites
2011			UC: 101	(39%); 5 (8.5%)			with probing pocket depth
			Control: 100	UC: 17 (16.8%); 55			>=4mm and clinical
				(54.5%); 29 (28.7%)			attachment level >=3mm.
				Control: 49 (49%); 44			
				(44%); 7 (7%)			
Slebioda et al.	case-control	Poland	IBD: 95	no data	no data	no data	no data
2011			CD: 70 (37,4)				
			UC: 25 (37,2)				
			Control: 70				
			(31,6)				
Vavricka et	case-control	Germany	IBD: 13 (40.6)	IBD: 23 (20.4%); 48	CS (n=24)	IBD: EIM,	Defined as LA-PPD >=5mm
al.			CD: 69 (39.6)	(42.5%); 42 (37.2%)	AS (n=37)	alcohol	and/or BOP
2013			UC: 44 (42.3)	CD: 21 (30.4%); 25	Thiopurines (AZA & 6-MP;	Control: alcohol	
			Control: 113	(36.2%); 3 (4.3%)	n=25)		
			(41.7)	UC: 2 (4.5%); 23	MTX (n=5)		
				(52.3%); 19 (43.2%)	Cyclosporine, Tacrolimus		
				Control: 21 (18.6%);	(n=3, 3)		
				71 (63.8%); 21 (18.6%)	Anti-TNF (IFX, ADA, CZP		
					n=45)		
					Probiotics (n=8)		

					NSAID (n=3)		
Koutschristo	case-control	Greece	IBD: 55 (12.27)	no data	AS	no data	no data
u et al.			CD: 36		CS		
2015			UC: 19		Anti-TNF		
			Control: 55		IM (n=28)		
			(all <18)		Combination of 2 or 3 drugs		
					(n=55)		
Chi et al.	cohort study	Taiwan	IBD: 6,657	no data	ASA (n=162)	IBD: HT, HL,	ICD-9-CM code: 523.3 or
2018			CD: 6,657		AZA (n=24)	CHD, DM, HF,	523.4
			UC: 0		Other IS (n=136)	RD	(bi-annual checkups
			Control: 26,628		CS (n=5766)	Control: HT,	periodontal examination,
					Non IBD related medications	HL, CHD, DM,	probing of the sulcus and
					Control group takes	HF, RD	radiographs
					medication too		a depth of 3 mm or greater is
							considered to support a
							diagnosis of peri-odontal
							disease)
Schmidt et al.	cross-	Germany	IBD: 59 (49.8)	IBD: 0	AS	no data	no data
2018	sectional		CD: 30 (49.6)	CD: 14 (48%)	CS		
	study,		UC: 39 (50.0)	UC: 0	Anti-TNF		
	case-control		Control: 59	Control: 0	IM		
			(51.3)		single or combination		
Yu et al. 2018	retrospective	Taiwan	IBD: 27 (38)	no data	no data	no data	ICD-9-CM diagnosis code
	cohort study		CD: 7				(ICD-9-CM codes 523.3,

			UC: 20				523.4, and 523.5). ICD-9
			Control: 108				procedure code 9,654, 2,431,
			(36,3)				and 2,439 were also defined
Zhang et al.	case-control	China	IBD: 389	IBD: 35; 295; 59	ASA (n=94)	no data	$\geq$ 2 interproximal sites with
2020			CD: 265 (29)	CD: 21 (7.9%); 208	CS (n=28)		CAL $\geq$ 3 mm, and $\geq$ 2
			UC: 124 (39)	(78.5%); 36 (13.6%)	IS (n=133)		interproximal sites with PD
			Control: 265	UC: 14 (11.3%); 87	Biologicial therapy (n=113)		$\geq$ 4 mm (not on the same
			(26)	(70.2%); 23 (18.5%)	Untreated (n=21)		tooth), or $\geq$ 1 site with PD $\geq$
				Control: 22 (8.3%);			5 mm
				226 (85.3%); 17 (6.4%)			
Tan et al.	case-control	Netherland	IBD: 229 (51)	IBD: 53	CS (n=36)	IBD: DM,	no data
2021		s	CD: 148	CD:	Biologicials (n=27)	alcohol	
			UC: 80	UC:	IS (n=25)	Control: DM,	
			+1	Control: 72	ASA (n=59)	alcohol	
			Undetermined				
			Control: 229				
			(51)				

1. Equation Abbreviations: inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Crohn's disease (CD), ulcerative colitis (UC), corticosteroids (CS), aminosalicilate (ASA), immunosuppressants (IS), immunodulators (IM), azathioprine (AZA), methotrexate (MTX), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP), anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF), antibiotics (AB), infliximab (IFX), adalimumab (ADA), certolizumab pegol (CZP), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus (DM), extraintestinal manifestations of IBD (EIM), hyperlipidaemia (HL), coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), renal disease (RD), clinical attachment loss (CAL), largest-periodontal probing depth (LA-PPD), bleeding on probing (BOP), international classification of diseases, ninth version, clinical modification (ICD-9-CM), pocket depth (PD)

#### 8.1.2. Results of the quantitative analysis

#### 8.1.2.1. Association between IBD and periodontitis

Data from six articles [92, 95, 99, 102-104], encompassing a total of 1,605 patients—898 diagnosed with IBD (either CD or UC) and 707 healthy controls—were analyzed to evaluate the association between IBD and periodontitis (PD). On average, the odds ratio (OR) (pooled effect size) of having PD was 2.65 (95% CI: 2.09-3.36), indicating a statistically significant difference between the investigated groups (Figure 2). The odds of having PD are higher in the population with IBD compared to the healthy population. The between-study heterogeneity, quantified by an I<sup>2</sup> value, was 0% (95% CI: 0% - 0.75%). The variance of true effects ( $\tau^2$ ) was 0, and the standard deviation of true effects ( $\tau$ ) was also 0. The prediction interval ranged from 1.87 to 3.75.

These results indicate that patients with IBD have a higher chance of developing periodontitis compared to individuals without IBD.

		IBD	He	ealthy				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Habashneh et al., 2011	93	160	40	100		2.08	[1.25; 3.46]	23.1%
Zhang et al., 2020	146	389	51	265		2.52	[1.75; 3.63]	44.6%
Brito et al., 2008	153	179	50	74	- <u>i</u> e-	2.83	[1.49; 5.37]	14.6%
Yu et al., 2018	22	27	58	108		3.79	[1.34; 10.73]	5.5%
Vavricka et al., 2013		113		113		3.92	[1.91; 8.05]	11.6%
Zervou et al., 2004	2	30	0	47		5.00	[0.23; 107.82]	0.6%
Random effects model	416	898	199	707	\$	2.65	[2.09; 3.36]	100.0%
Prediction interval							[1.87; 3.75]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0, p = 0	0.74			1 1 1 1	I		
				0.	01 0.1 1 10	100		
					Lower PD Higher P	D		

Figure 2 - Forest plot showing the odds of developing periodontitis in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and IBD-free group odds ratio (OR), confidence interval (CI), and periodontitis (PD)

Through subgroup analysis, both IBD types – CD and UC patients – were evaluated separately.

#### 8.1.2.2. Association between CD and periodontitis

From the six studies [92, 95, 99, 102-104], covering altogether 1,605 patients, 514 were

classified CD.

On average, the OR (the pooled effect size) for the presence of periodontitis (PD) was 2.22 (95% CI: 1.49-3.31). The between-study heterogeneity, indicated by an I<sup>2</sup> value, was 0.05% (95% CI: 0% - 0.76%). These findings lead to the conclusion that the odds of developing PD are higher in the CD population compared to the healthy population (Figure 3).





#### 8.1.2.3. Association between UC and periodontitis

From the investigated exposed population [92, 95, 99, 102-104], 384 participants had UC diagnosis. On average, the OR (the pooled effect size) of having PD was 3.52 (CI: 2.56-4.83). The between-study heterogeneity represented by an I<sup>2</sup> value was 0 (95% CI: 0 - 0.75). It can be concluded that the odds of having PD in the UC population are higher than those in the healthy population (Figure 4). Notably, the OR is highest in the UC population compared to the controls.

		UC	He	althy				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Habashneh et al., 2011	61	101	40	100		2.29	[1.30; 4.03]	28.1%
Zervou et al., 2004	0	15	0	47		— 3.07	[0.06; 159.04]	0.6%
Yu et al., 2018	16	20	58	108		3.45	[1.08; 11.01]	6.7%
Vavricka et al., 2013		44		113	<u></u>	3.94	[1.64; 9.46]	11.7%
Brito et al., 2008	72	80	50	74	<u></u>	4.32	[1.80; 10.38]	11.7%
Zhang et al., 2020	63	124	51	265		4.33	[2.72; 6.90]	41.3%
Random effects model	212	384	199	707	\$	3.52	[2.56; 4.83]	100.0%
Prediction Interval						1	[2.30; 5.38]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0, p = 0	0.66		(	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	00		
					Lower PD Higher PD			

**Figure 4** - Forest plot showing the association between ulcerative colitis (UC) and periodontitis. odds ratio (OR), confidence interval (CI), and periodontitis (PD)

Based on our findings, both CD and UC individually show a significant association with the development of periodontitis. Further evaluation and funnel plots of the aforementioned evaluations are detailed in the Supplementary Material of the original publication.

# 8.1.2.4. Risk of developing IBD in patients with periodontitis compared to patients with a healthy periodontium

Two studies met our defined PECO 2 framework [96, 98]. These studies utilized the databases of insurance companies and identified 6,646 CD and 6,108 UC patients. The control population comprises 10,085,738 healthy controls. Despite the enormous database, the results are derived only from two studies, therefore only tendencies could be exmined from the statistical evaluation as yet.

Nevertheless, both studies concluded, that periodontitis was significantly associated with the risk of subsequent UC, but not with subsequent CD (Figure 5, Figure 6). However, additional studies are required for a reliable statistical analysis, and these results should be treated cautiously.



Figure 5 - Risk for developing ulcerative colitis (UC) in patients with periodontitis Periodontitis (PD), odds ratio (OR), and confidence interval (CI)



Figure 6 - Risk for developing Crohn's disease (CD) in patients with periodontitis Periodontitis (PD), odds ratio (OR), and confidence interval (CI)

#### 8.1.3. Results of qualitative analysis, additional analyses

As secondary outcomes, we compared various clinical periodontal parameters (PPD, CAL, GI, BOP, PI, etc.) of patients with IBD compared to healthy population. The results indicated that the mean PPD was statistically significantly higher in the IBD group, compared to the IBD-free groups. Although the CAL results were also higher in the IBD group, they did not reach statistical significance. However, the substantial heterogeneity in the measurement methods across the studies and the low number of studies limited the reliability of this evaluation. Additionally, the observed differences between the exposed and control groups were clinically negligible. The detailed analysis can be seen in the

Supplementary part of the original publication.

#### 8.1.4. Quality assessment

Based on the results of the risk of bias assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, no study achieved less than 6 stars out of 9. Therefore, all were considered high or moderatequality studies. The selection of controls contributed most to the bias. The formal assessment of reporting bias was not feasible due to the small number of studies. The assessment of the quality of evidence across all outcomes revealed a moderate level. The primary reason for not classifying them as high certainty was the study design, as randomized controlled studies were not available, since they were not suitable due to the study question. No additional factors were found to downgrade the quality of evidence.

Detailed results of the risk of bias assessment and quality assessment can be found in the Supplementary Materials of the publications.

#### 8.2. Study II – Investigating the role of MMP-8 in periodontal diseases

#### 8.2.1. Search and selection, characteristics of the included studies

The initial search query identified 4,806 records. Following the removal of duplicates, 3,104 records remained. A subsequent screening of titles and abstracts narrowed these to 110 studies for full-text review. Ultimately, 20 studies met the criteria for inclusion in the quantitative synthesis [44, 59, 61, 106-122]. The full selection process is on Figure 7.

Table 2 summarizes the basic characteristics of the included studies.



Figure 7 - PRISMA Flow Diagram of the screening and selection process for Study II

Table 2 - Main characteristics of the included studies for Study II

Study	Country	Sample size (P/G/H)	Mean age	Female:male ratio	Smokers / non-smokers	Method for diagnosing MMP-8
Akbari et al. 2013 [106]	India	100/ 100/ 50	30-39 years	ND	mixed cohort	ELISA
Bostanci et al. 2021 [107]	Turkey	60/ 31/ 36	P: 39.6±5.7 G:33.1±5.9 H:33.7±6.7	female:male - 71:56	non-smoker	ELISA
Christodoulides et al. 2007 [108]	United States of America	28/ - / 28	≥18 years of age	ND	not defined	ELISA
Ebersole et al. 2013 [110]	United States of America	50/ - / 30	P: age: 43.0±10.8 H: age: 31.4±6.8	P: female: 28% H: female: 46,7%	mixed cohort	ELISA
Gupta et al. 2015 [111]	India	40/ - / 20	age range of 35–55 years Group I: 43.30±8.64 Group II: 42.80±8.02 Group III: 44.20±7.40	Group I: 10 male: 10 female Group II: 11 male: 9 female Group III: 14 male: 6 female	mixed cohort	ELISA
Gursoy et al. 2010 [44]	Finland	84/ - / 81	periodontitis smoker: 48.6±5.3	periodontitis smoker: 52.3% men	mixed cohort	ELISA, IFMA
			periodontitis non-smoker: 50.7±4.9	periodontitis non-smoker: 67.5% men		
----------------------------	--------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------	--	--------------	-------
			control smoker: 44.4±4.3	control smoker: 42.9% men		
			Control non-smoker: 48.6±5.7	Control non-smoker: 33.3% men		
			H: 30.04±8.79			
			P- PS-I: 35.00±15.10			
Lee et al. 2020 [123]	South Korea	93/ - / 28	PS-II:49.21±16.92	38 male (30.4%) and 87 female	mixed cohort	ELISA
			PS-III: 58.17±14.40			
			PS-IV: 61.41±11.35			
	United States of America	28/ - / 29	H: age 43.1±7.2	H: 41.4% male	mixed cohort	FLISA
Winter et al. 2000 [113]	United States of America		P: age 45.4±8.5	P: 42.9% male	mixed conort	ELISA
		20/ 18/ 15	P: 35.3±9.6			
Rai et al. 2008 [118]	India		G: 36.1±9.3	ND	-	ELISA
			H: 35.1±8.7			
Ramseier et al. 2009 [119]			Group A (healthy): 45 years,	Group A		
	United States of America	40/32/18	Group B (gingivitis): 42 years,	(healthy): 56% (males %)	mixed cohort	ELISA
	United States of America	49/ 32/ 18	Group C (mild chronic	p C (mild chronic Group B		ELISA
			periodontitis): 53 years,	(gingivitis): 41%		

			Group D (moderate to severe chronic periodontitis): 50 years	Group C (mild chronic periodontitis): 39% Group D (moderate to severe chronic periodontitis): 38 %		
Rangbulla et al. 2017 [120]	India	30/ - / 20	aged 18 to 45 years	ND	non-smokers	ELISA
Umeizudike et al. 2022 [121]	United Kingdom	67/ 63/ 59	40.4 ± 11.7 years (range 18–62 years)	Females (n = 118) represented 62.4% of the total study population	non-smokers	ELISA, IFMA
Zhang et al. 2021 [122]	China	31/24/25	P: 42.58±3.39 G: 26.32±4.02 H: 24.68±3.52	P: 17/14 (M/F) G: 11/13 H: 12/13	non-smokers	ELISA
Keles et al. 2020 [112]	Turkey	40/ 20/ 23	aged 25-50 years mean age: $37.16 \pm 5.96$ years	41 females and 42 males	non-smokers	IFMA
Mauramo et al. 2021 [114]	Switzerland	116/ - /86	Mean age: P: 48.2 (29–56) H:42.9 (25–57)	Male/Female (%/%) P:55/61 (47.4/52.6) H:27/59 (31.4/68.6)	mixed cohort	IFMA
Nizam et al. 2014 [116]	Turkey	18 (chronic	P: GCP age 50.0* (45.0–54.0)	P: GCP: 10 males and 8 females;	mixed cohort	IFMA

		periodontitis)	H: age 44.50* (39.50–52.50)	H: 11 males and 7 females		
		/ - / 18				
			H: 24.3 (23.6-26.4)	H: 3 (15.8) Male (%)		IFMA
Noack et al. 2017 [117]	Germany	20/ 20/ 19	G: 24.6 (23.2-25.3)	G: 11 (55.0)	mixed cohort	
			P: 50.3 (39.1-57.6)	P: 12 (60.0)		
			PSIV: 41 ± 7.8	PSIII: 11/8 (Females/Males)		IFMA
Ozturk et al. 2021 [61]	Turkey	37/21/22	$PSIII:44.7\pm9.6$	PSIV: 8/10	non-smokers	
			G: $30 \pm 9.0$	G: 11/10		
			H: $31 \pm 6.4$	H: 10/12		
			Age (years; mean ± SD)	$H_{1}(0,0)(E_{1},,1,0)$		
		101/ 42/ 65	H: $28.2 \pm 5.9$	H: 60.0 (Female %)		LUMINEX
Ebersole et al. 2015 [124]	United States of America	101/ 43/ 65	$G{:}27.8\pm4.5$	G: 48.8	mixed cohort	
			$P:42.0 \pm 10.4$	P: 32.7		
Johnson et al. 2016 [125]	United States of America	31/ - / 10	P: 44.9 ± 14.3			LUMINEX
			H: 31.5 ± 5.2		mixed cohort	

2. Equation Abbreviations: P: periodontitis, G: gingivitis, H: Healthy population, ND: Not defined

# 8.2.2. Results of the quantitative analysis

# 8.2.2.1. MMP-8 level elevation in periodontitis

20 studies [44, 59, 61, 106-122], encompassing 1,725 participants, were selected for the statistical analysis. The level of MMP-8 was specified in ng/ml. The overall and subgroup differences of patients with healthy periodontium and patients with periodontitis are summarized on Figure 8. The different subgroups represent different laboratory measurement methods (IFMA, ELISA, LUMINEX). Two studies measured the MMP-8 level with both ELISA and IFMA [44, 121], and for the analysis the IFMA method was used. The analysis that used the ELISA methods can be seen in the Supplementary Material of the original publication.

Salivary MMP-8 levels were significantly higher in patients with periodontitis. (MD=273.26, CI: 194.42;352.10). Among the different measurement methods, MMP-8 level measures with ELISA showed the greatest difference (MD=318.92, CI: 205.48;432.37), which utilizes tMMP-8 levels. This was followed by IFMA, which measures aMMP-8 levels (MD=239.02, CI: 62.20;415.83), then by LUMINEX (MD=183.38, CI: 178.92;187.84).

		per	iodontitis			control				
Study	Ν	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95% CI	Weight
ELISA										
Christodoulides et al., 2007 *	28	222.48	105.14	28	80.89	87.62	-	141.59	[ 90.90: 192.28]	5.89%
Lee et al., 2020	93	419.19	267.58	28	235.01	178.71		184.18	[ 98.51: 269.85]	5.57%
Zhang et al., 2021	31	657.10	279.80	25	435.80	180.60		221.30	[ 100.00; 342.60]	5.15%
Ebersole et al., 2013	50	283.47	203.47	30	52.63	40.62	-	230.84	[ 172.60; 289.08]	5.83%
Gupta et al., 2015 B	40	407.00	59.28	20	174.17	22.40	+	232.83	[ 211.99; 253.66]	6.04%
Miller et al., 2006	28	408.60	423.30	29	95.10	80.10		313.50	[ 154.02; 472.98]	4.64%
Rai et al., 2008	20	428.60	432.40	15	95.20	70.20		333.40	[ 140.59; 526.21]	4.18%
Akbari et al., 2015	100	407.94	91.10	50	73.51	15.26	+	334.43	[ 316.08; 352.78]	6.05%
Ramseier et al., 2009 *	49	626.39	1513.25	18	123.84	253.97		- 502.55	[ 62.90; 942.19]	1.81%
Rangbulla et al., 2017	30	672.18	411.00	20	57.95	31.64		614.23	[ 466.51; 761.95]	4.80%
Bostanci et al., 2021	60	740.70	783.00	36	76.50	53.70		- 664.20	[ 465.30; 863.10]	4.10%
Random effects model	529			299			-	318.92	[ 205.48; 432.37]	54.06%
Prediction interval	•								[-38.81; 676.66]	
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 92% [88%; 95%	6], τ <sup>2</sup> = 2	2415.62, p <	: 0.001							
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_{10} = 6$	.26 (p <	0.001)								
IFMA										
Noack et al., 2017	20	100.35	15.82	19	26.11	28.40	+	74.24	[ 59.71; 88.77]	6.06%
Mauramo et al., 2021	116	225.84	183.45	86	125.00	132.10	-+-	100.84	[ 57.32; 144.36]	5.94%
Keles et al., 2020	40	779.32	87.26	23	625.74	163.10		153.58	[ 81.65; 225.51]	5.71%
Öztürk et al., 2021 *	37	273.20	276.51	22	41.30	45.03	-	231.90	[ 140.84; 322.96]	5.52%
Umeizudike et al., 2022 B *	67	569.54	95.41	59	295.62	233.03		273.92	[ 210.22; 337.62]	5.79%
Nizam et al., 2014 *	18	1169.87	601.59	18	668.59	758.41	<b>n</b>	- 501.27	[ 54.07; 948.48]	1.77%
Gursoy et al., 2010 B	84	967.45	1023.85	81	332.09	231.57		- 635.36	[ 410.68; 860.05]	3.76%
Random effects model	382			308			-	239.02	[ 62.20; 415.83]	34.54%
Prediction interval									[-220.15; 698.19]	
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 92% [86%; 95%	6], τ <sup>2</sup> = 2	6685.53, p <	0.001							
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_6 = 3.3$	31 (p = 0	.016)								
Luminex										
Johnson et al., 2016 *	31	244.47	292.73	10	67.24	44.93		177.23	[ 70.48; 283.97]	5.33%
Ebersole et al., 2015	101	314.10	25.50	65	130.70	14.50		183.40	[ 177.30; 189.50]	6.07%
Random effects model	132			75			1	183.38	[ 178.92; 187.84]	11.40%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , $p =$	0.910									
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_1 = 52$	1.89 (p	= 0.001)								
Random effects model	1043			682			•	273.26	[ 194.42; 352.10]	100.00%
Prediction interval									[ -43.06; 589.58]	
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 97% [96%; 98%	6], τ <sup>2</sup> = 2	1370.92, p <	0.001				-500 0 500			
Test for overall effect: $t_{19} = 7.25$ (p	< 0.001	.)								
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2_2$ =	7.68, df	= 2 (p = 0.0	21)	Higher in Higher in control periodoptitis						

If a study is indicated with \*, then its mean and/or standard deviation is estimated from median, quartiles or minimum, maximum values. See raw data and methods.

# Figure 8 - Mean difference of MMP-8 level results in periodontitis patients compared to healthy population

# 8.2.2.2. MMP-8 level elevation in gingivitis

Our comparison of gingivitis versus healthy populations is derived from data encompassing 704 patients originating from 10 studies (Figure 9). Patients diagnosed with gingivitis presented elevated salivary MMP-8 levels compared to those in healthy

individuals (MD=122.82, CI: 64.19;181.45). The highest mean difference was measured with ELISA (tMMP-8) (MD=191.85, CI: 174.56; 209.15), followed by IFMA (aMMP-8) (MD=91.96, CI: -63.99;247.91) and Luminex (MD=68.30, CI: 58.92;77.68). While ELISA represents statistically significant difference, IFMA does not. Where studies used both ELISA, and IFMA, IFMA was represented on the evaluations, and the ELISA method can be seen in the Supplementary Material of the original publication.

		(	gingivitis			control				
Study	N	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95% CI	Weight
ELISA										
Ramseier et al., 2009 *	32	184.68	366.02	18	123.84	253.97		60.84	[-111.93; 233.61]	5.55%
Zhang et al., 2021	24	603.20	220.70	25	435.80	180.60		167.40	[ 54.23; 280.57]	8.45%
Akbari et al., 2015	100	266.56	77.27	50	73.51	15.26	+	193.06	[177.33; 208.78]	13.78%
Bostanci et al., 2021	31	272.40	403.20	36	76.50	53.70		195.90	[ 52.89; 338.91]	6.85%
Rai et al., 2008	18	312.80	301.80	15	95.20	70.20	<del> </del>	217.60	[73.72; 361.48]	6.80%
Random effects model	205			144			•	191.85	[ 174.56; 209.15]	41.43%
Prediction interval							=		[ 172.03; 211.68]	
Heterogeneity: /2 = 0% [ 0%; >7	'9%], τ <sup>2</sup>	= 0, p = 0.	638							
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_4 =$	30.79	(p < 0.001)	)							
IFMA										
Keles et al., 2020	20	609.77	174.13	23	625.74	163.10		-15.97	[-117.30; 85.36]	9.17%
Noack et al., 2017	20	55.04	38.17	19	26.11	28.40		28.93	[ 7.88; 49.98]	13.64%
Öztürk et al., 2021 *	21	212.40	150.12	22	41.30	45.03		171.10	[ 104.19; 238.01]	11.37%
Umeizudike et al., 2022 B *	63	477.09	219.15	59	295.62	233.03		181.47	[ 101.07; 261.87]	10.50%
Random effects model	124			123			+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	91.96	[ -63.99; 247.91]	44.69%
Prediction interval								•	[-347.93; 531.85]	
Heterogeneity: /2 = 89% [76%; :	>95%], 1	τ <sup>2</sup> = 8051.4	1, p < 0.00	1						
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_3$ =	= 1.88 (µ	o = 0.157)								
Luminex										
Ebersole et al., 2015	43	199.00	29.10	65	130.70	14.50		68.30	[ 58.92; 77.68]	13.89%
Random effects model	372			332				122.82	[ 64.19; 181.45]	100.00%
Prediction interval									[ -53.49; 299.13]	
Heterogeneity: /2 = 96% [95%; 9	97%], τ <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> = 5129.95	, p < 0.001				-400 -200 0 200 400			
Test for overall effect: t <sub>9</sub> = 4.74	(p = 0.	001)								
Test for subgroup differences:	$\chi^2_2 = 247$	7.43, df = 2	(p < 0.001	)			Higher in Higher in			
							control gingivitis			

If a study is indicated with \*, then its mean and/or standard deviation is estimated from median, quartiles or minimum, maximum values. See raw data and methods.

# Figure 9 - Mean difference of MMP-8 level results in gingivitis patients compared to healthy population

# 8.2.2.3. MMP-8 level elevation in gingivitis compared to periodontitis

In addition, the salivary MMP-8 levels of the two exposed groups, gingivitis and

peridontitis cases was compared. MMP-8 level is significantly higher in the saliva of patients with periodontitis compared to patients with gingivitis. (MD=112.04, CI: 56.15;167.92) (Figure 10). The highest difference was observed by ELISA (tMMP-8) (MD=196.39, CI: -24.33;417.10). By IFMA (aMMP-8) the results were (MD=86.18, CI: 0.10;172.27), and by Luminex one study found (MD=115.10 CI:105.08;125.12). ELISA method can be seen in the Supplementary Material of the original publication.

		per	riodontitis		(	gingivitis				
Study	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	MD	95% CI	Weight
ELISA										
Zhang et al., 2021	31	657.10	279.80	24	603.20	220.70	-	53.90	[-78.38; 186.18]	5.98%
Rai et al., 2008	20	428.60	432.40	18	312.80	301.80		115.80	[-119.47; 351.07]	2.38%
Akbari et al., 2015	100	407.94	91.10	100	266.56	77.27	10	141.38	[ 117.96; 164.79]	18.50%
Ramseier et al., 2009	49	626.39	1513.25	32	176.33	366.98		450.06	[ 7.69; 892.43]	0.74%
Bostanci et al., 2021	60	740.70	783.00	31	272.40	403.20		468.30	[224.58; 712.02]	2.24%
Random effects model	260			205				196.39	[-24.33; 417.10]	29.83%
Prediction interval									[-295.53; 688.30]	
Heterogeneity: /2 = 62% [ 0%; 8	86%], τ <sup>2</sup>	= 18136.5	8, p = 0.033							
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_4 =$	= 2.47 (	o = 0.069)								
IFMA										
Noack et al., 2017	20	100.35	15.82	20	55.04	38.17	10	45.31	[ 27.20; 63.42]	19.02%
Öztürk et al., 2021	37	273.20	276.51	21	212.40	150.38	-	60.80	[-49.08; 170.69]	7.63%
Umeizudike et al., 2022 B *	63	569.54	95.41	59	477.07	219.48	÷	92.47	[ 31.71; 153.23]	13.32%
Keles et al., 2020	40	779.32	87.26	20	609.77	174.13		169.55	[ 88.59; 250.51]	10.62%
Random effects model	160			120			<b>~</b>	86.18	[ 0.10; 172.27]	50.59%
Prediction interval									[-139.54; 311.91]	
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 71\%$ [16%:	90%1. T	2 = 1977.95	p = 0.016							
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_3 =$	= 3.19 (µ	o = 0.050)	148							
Luminex										
Ebersole et al., 2015	101	314.10	25.50	43	199.00	29.10	ICI .	115.10	[ 105.08; 125.12]	19.58%
Prediction interval										
Random effects model	521			368			*	112.04	[ 56.15; 167.92]	100.00%
Prediction interval	2010			0.00017/500				000000000000000000000000000000000000000	[ 0.15; 223.92]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 87\%$ [78%;	92%], t <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> = 1964.27	, p < 0.001				-500 0 500			
Test for overall effect: (g = 4.53	p = 0.	001)					Higher in Higher in			
lest for subgroup differences:	$\chi_2^2 = 2.1$	/, df = 2 (j	o = 0.338)				gingivitis periodontitis	8		
If a study is indicated with *, th	en its m	ean and/or	standard de	eviation	is estimate	d from media	n, quartiles or minimum, maximu	im values. See	e raw data and method	IS.

Figure 10 - Mean difference in MMP-8 level results in periodontitis population compared to gingivitis patients

# 8.2.3. Results of qualitative analysis, additional analyses

The correlation between clinical periodontal parameters and salivary MMP-8 level was investigated. All included studies observed a positive association between PPD and MMP-8 levels, although the strength of this association varied widely (from r = 0.319 to r = 0.95). Numerous studies investigated the relationship between CAL or BOP and

MMP-8 levels, similarly finding positive associations with broad ranges (mean CAL: from r = 0.507 to r = 0.94; BOP: from r = 0.241 to r = 0.58).

In addition to the previously mentioned parameters, the association between salivary MMP-8 and other clinical periodontal parameters was examined. The correlation results retrieved from these studies are further detailed in Table 3.

Study, year	Salivary MMP-8 level correlated with x	Correlation type	Corr.	p value	Method for diagnosing MMP-8		
	PI	Spearmann	0.651	p≤0.01			
	GI	Spearmann	0.688	p≤0.01			
	mean PPD	Spearmann	0.677	p≤0.01			
Noack et al. 2017	number of sites with PPD≥ 4mm	Spearmann	0.669	p≤0.01	IFMA		
	number of sites with PPD 26mm	Spearmann	0.682	p≤0.01			
	smoking	Spearmann	0.39	p≤0.01			
	GI	Pearson	0.65	p<0.001			
Gupta et al.	PI	Pearson	0.93	p<0.001			
2015	PPD	Pearson	0.95	p<0.001	ELISA		
	CAL	Pearson	0.94	p<0.001			
	BOP	Pearson	0.58	p=0.001			
Miller et al.	CAL>2mm	Pearson	0.39	p=0.003			
2006	Sites with PPD>4mm	Pearson	0.62	p<0.001	ELISA		
	Sites with PPD>5 mm	Pearson	0.62	p<0.001			
	Chronic periodontitis group: PPD	Spearmann	0.61	p=0.007			
Nizam et al.	Chronic periodontitis group: PI	Spearmann	0.634	p=0.005			
2014	Chronic periodontitis group: BOP	Spearmann	0.47	p=0.049	IFMA		
	Healthy group: PI	Spearmann	-0.289	p=0.274			
	Healthy group: age	Spearmann	0.439	p=0.09			
7hong et al	PPD	Spearmann	0.319	p=0.008			
Zhang et al.	BOP	Spearmann	0.377	p=0.002	ELISA		
2021	Age	Spearmann	0.161	p=0.187			
Gursoy et	MMP-9	Spearmann	0.344	p<0.001			
<b>al. 2013</b> (same	number of teeth with PPD ≥4mm	Spearmann	0.359	p<0.001	IEMA		
population as Gursoy 2010) [46]	total bone loss	Spearmann	0.192	p=0.004	IFMA		
	PPD	Spearmann	0.469	p<0.1	IFMA		

Table 3 - Correlation coeffitients reported in included studies

Keles et al	CAL	Spearmann	0.507	p≤0.1	
Keles et al.	PI	Spearmann	0.382	p≤0.1	]
2020	BOP	Spearmann	0.241	p≤0.5	
	Total population: BOP%>0	Pearson	0.484	p≤0.5	
	Total population: PPD>4mm	Pearson	0.450	p≤0.5	
	Total population: PPD>5mm	Pearson	0.459	p≤0.5	
	Total population: Mean PPD	Pearson	0.463	p≤0.5	
Ebersole et	Periodontitis group: BOP%>0	Pearson	0.383	p≤0.5	
al. 2015	Periodontitis group: PPD>4mm	Pearson	0.361	p≤0.5	
	Periodontitis group: PPD>5mm	Pearson	0.375	p≤0.5	
	Periodontitis group: Mean PPD	Pearson	0.386	p≤0.5	Luminex
	Gingivitis group: BOP%>0	Pearson	0.258		
	Gingivitis group: PPD>4mm	Pearson	-0.008		
	Gingivitis group: PPD>5mm	Pearson	-0.140		
	Gingivitis group: Mean PPD	Pearson	-0.060		
	Healthy group: BOP%>0	Pearson	0.166		
	Healthy group: PPD>4mm	Pearson	-0.125		
	Healthy group: PPD>5mm	Pearson	-0.118		
	Healthy group: Mean PPD	Pearson	0.007		

All data used for statistical evaluation and further evaluations can be found in the original publications (results and discussion) and their Supplementary Material.

# 8.2.4. Quality assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used as well to assess the risk of bias. All studies exhibited a low to moderate risk of bias, categorizing them as moderate to high quality. Egger's test and funnel plot analysis indicated that the detection of publication bias was not warranted. Similarly, the selection of controls contributed most to the bias.

The quality assessment across all three outcomes revealed a moderate level. However, the main reason for the downgrading was the lack of RCTs. Nevertheless, these study designs were not meaningful due to the study question.

Comprehensive results from the risk of bias and quality assessments are provided in the Supplementary Materials accompanying the publications.

# 9. **DISCUSSION**

#### 9.1. Summary of findings, international comparisons

The object of the first systematic-review and meta-analysis was to investigate a special association between two inflammatory conditions, IBD (separately CD, UC and both) and periodontitis. Our aim was to overcome the limitations identified in previous meta-analyses and to determine if a two-way association existed, therefore, we uniquely investigated the relationship from both directions for the first time.

Our results confirmed our hypothesis, that patients with IBD have a significantly higher likelihood of developing periodontitis (OR: 2.65). This association holds true for both CD (OR: 2.22) and UC (OR: 3.52), which were analyzed separately. Therefore, it can be declared that both types of IBD are associated with an increased risk of periodontitis.

Previous meta-analyses investigating the link between IBD and periodontitis also confirmed a positive association [126-129], which was verified by our results. Compared to the first-meta-analysis in the topic [127] - conducted with the highest quality - our results indicate a slightly weaker correlation. However, our analysis included new studies that have been published since that meta-analysis. Additionally, in comparison to the most recent meta-analysis preceding our study, we found a stronger association [129].

To determine if periodontitis is a risk factor for IBD, we were able to include only two eligible studies, which prohibited to draw definitive conclusions. However, the findings suggest a tendency that periodontitis is associated with increased risk of UC, but not CD.

Although this was the first systematic evaluation to explore the association from this perspective, a subsequent meta-analysis, by Wang et al., has since been conducted examining this direction. They included only longitudinal studies with a minimum follow-up of three years duration and incorporated two additional studies published after our manuscript. Their results confirmed our observation, that periodontitis elevated the risk of UC, but not CD [130].

The reason for the difference could be due to the subtly different pathogenesis of the two forms of IBDs [131]. As an example, in the pathogenesis of CD, T helper 1 (Th1) cells predominate, and an excessive production of interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and IL-12 can be examined. In contrast, UC is characterized by the role of T helper 2 (Th2) cells, and IL-

13 elevation [132]. These observations underline the importance of investigating the immune-inflammatory pathways that play a key role in IBD pathogenesis. Moreover, some similarities could be discovered in the pathogenesis of IBDs and periodontitis, and by investigating the common pathways, the knowledge can contribute to knowing and treating the diseases separately. Among others, common immune-inflammatory pathways were identified. Both the innate and adaptive immunity is affected in the pathogenesis of both diseases, leading to dysfunctional immune-inflammatory response. The occurring imbalance of the protective and inflammatory response perpetuates the chronic inflammation. For instance, the coexistence of IBD and periodontitis was associated with higher levels of prostaglandin E2, aMMP8, IL-18 and S100A12, IL-17A and INF- $\gamma$ , compared to individuals, without the presence of both diseases [133, 134]. Additionally, these diseases exhibit similar expression patterns of inflammatory cytokines [134]. It was also observed, that steroids used to treat IBD have a protective effect against periodontitis, leading to the conclusion that IBD and periodontitis might share common immune-inflammatory alterations [93].

The inflammatory response to different bacteria is critical in the pathogenesis of both diseases. Therefore, another potential link between the diseases is a similar shift in the microbiome. Microbiome alterations, known as dysbiosis, are a significant element in the pathogenesis [134]. The development of periodontitis is characterized by a shift in the subgingival microbial communities, which triggers an uncontrolled immune-inflammatory response, ultimately resulting in tissue destruction [135]. One of the principal pathogens in periodontitis is Porphyromonas gingivalis, which, when ingested, can induce changes in the gut microbiota. It increases gut epithelial permeability and contributes to endotoxemia, subsequently leading to systemic inflammation [1].

Although the specific genetic variations responsible for periodontitis and IBD have not yet been identified, genetic predisposition is present for both conditions and should be considered in their management [136, 137].

Common behavioral and environmental factors may also contribute to the observed positive association between periodontitis and IBD. Smoking, one of the most important risk factor for periodontitis, has been shown to increase the risk of CD as well as periodontitis. However, this association does not extend to UC, which may be due to the distinct pathogenesis of the two inflammatory bowel diseases [138].

Another major risk factor for periodontitis is inadequate oral hygiene [139]. IBD can present with oral manifestations such as ulcers, aphthous stomatitis, cobblestoning, taglike lesions, and mucogingivitis, which are often painful and can impair the patient's ability to maintain proper oral hygiene [140]. Consequently, these oral complications contribute to inadequate oral hygiene practices, which can lead to the development of periodontitis.

These several similarities underline the importance of investigating common patterns, which can contribute in further understanding their pathogenesis, therefore, improving treatment methods and patient outcomes.

The **second** systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to investigate the MMP-8 level in the saliva of patients with periodontitis, gingivitis and those with healthy periodontium. Our results indicate that salivary MMP-8 levels vary significantly among patients with periodontitis, gingivitis, and a healthy periodontium, with the highest levels observed in periodontitis cases and the lowest in healthy controls. Therefore, measuring salivary MMP-8 levels may serve as a reliable method for distinguishing gingivitis and periodontitis from a healthy periodontium, as well as for differentiating between these two conditions. However, precise cut-off values need to be determined to effectively differentiate between the study groups, which will require a future diagnostic metaanalysis.

The increasing number of publications investigating the applicability and reliability of MMP-8 level measurement, particularly in recent years, highlights the significance of the topic. Despite the substantial volume of original research, our study is the first to systematically examine salivary MMP-8 levels both in gingivitis and periodontitis, and healthy controls.

A previous meta-analysis by Zhang et al. [54] evaluated the diagnostic value of salivary MMP-8 in periodontitis, but focusing solely on periodontitis patients and not including those with gingivitis. Furthermore, their statistical methods differed slightly from ours. Nonetheless, they also found that salivary MMP-8 levels were significantly elevated in periodontitis patients. Notably, our analysis includes data from a greater number of

eligible studies, thereby enhancing the statistical power and robustness of our findings.

The number of studies utilizing the chair-side method for quantitative measurement was limited. Consequently, despite our protocol, a quantitative assessment was not feasible.

However, point-of-care (PoC) chair-side tests, based on aMMP-8 immunoassay, have demonstrated significant efficacy in periodontology. They are capable of detecting periodontal tissue destruction [59, 60, 141-148] and monitoring the stage and treatment of periodontitis. Additionally, PoC chair-side tests can be utilized to predict disease progression and CAL [51, 149-155]. They also have benefits, compared to laboratory-based techniques, which are being cheaper as not needing specially trained staff, special storage, being more accessible and quicker. Although numerous studies have investigated the efficacy of chair-side tests, yet there is insufficient data to conduct a meta-analysis assessing their reliability.

Besides diagnostic purposes, the clinical applicability of MMP-8 in periodontitis prevention and treatment follow-up was also investigated. Numerous studies have concluded that MMP-8 levels increase before the clinical signs of periodontal disease [149]. Consequently, patients can receive necessary interventions earlier, which is a primary objective in periodontitis treatment. This early intervention can prevent irreversible tissue destruction and tooth loss. Other studies have demonstrated that non-surgical periodontal therapy, which effectively reduces periodontal pocket depth, clinical attachment loss and full mouth bleeding score, also results in a decrease in MMP-8 levels [49, 156, 157]. A study observed higher levels of MMP-8, both in GCF and saliva, were associated with greater PPD and BOP [158]. Following treatment, MMP-8 levels can return to levels comparable to those observed in healthy individuals [47, 66, 106, 156, 159, 160]. This post-treatment reduction in enzyme levels suggests that MMP-8 can serve as a reliable biomarker for measuring the efficacy of periodontal therapy [37].

Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that elevated MMP-8 levels are associated with peri-implant tissue breakdown [37, 51, 60, 67, 141, 161-164]. Thus, MMP-8 measurement can be effectively implemented in the detection and treatment of peri-implantitis [164].

The clinical applicability of MMP-8 holds significant potential; however, there is still insufficient data to implement new protocols. Therefore, further research on this topic is

recommended, along with allocating funds to support such studies.

#### 9.2. Strengths

Both systematic reviews and meta-analyses were conducted with rigorous methodology, adhering to the Cochrane Handbook and PRISMA Guidelines. Protocols were registered in advance, and subsequently followed. The risk of bias assessment revealed that none of the studies included in the statistical evaluations were of low methodological quality. Both meta-analyses concentrated on highly investigated and novel topics, as evidenced by the vast number of recent publications. In Study I we overcame the identified limitations of previous systemic investigations in the topic. Namely, this study was the first systematic evaluation of both directions of association between IBD and periodontitis simultaneously. One previous meta-analysis combined studies that investigated the association from the one direction and the opposite, thereby confusing the exposed and control groups and causing significant bias, which was separately handled by our analysis. Furthermore, we were able to incorporate recent studies that were not available in previous meta-analyses.

Study II was the first systematic analysis to evaluate not only periodontitis, but gingivitis patients' salivary MMP-8 results compared to those with healthy gums. The different laboratory methods were evaluated separately allowing for the distinction between the different isoforms of MMP-8 (activated and total), avoiding serious bias.

# 9.3. Limitations

A common limitation among the studies was the variation in the definitions of periodontitis and gingivitis used. This highlights the need for accurate and standardized terminology in study protocols. Additionally, in Study I, the use of various periodontal screening methods for PPD, CAL, gingival, and plaque indices prevented reliable statistical analyses. Although the studies provided data on the medication used by enrolled patients to treat IBD, the medication varied widely. Also, no subgroup analyses were carried out based on the medication type. Therefore, the potential effect of IBD drugs on periodontitis could not be excluded. Moreover, in Study I, only two studies met the PECO 2 criteria, that suggested a potential tendency for patients with periodontitis to have a higher likelihood of receiving an IBD diagnosis. However, definitive conclusions cannot yet be drawn. It should also be noted that while the analyses for PECO 1 proved a

link between IBD and periodontitis, the results are not derived from randomized controlled trials, thus a causal relationship cannot be established.

The biggest limitation of Study II was the significant heterogeneity among the included studies, which could not be substantially reduced even with subgroup analysis. The diversity observed in the study populations may be attributed to the heterogeneity. It is known that smoking has a significant influence on the periodontium, thereby potentially impacting salivary MMP-8 levels. Therefore, reliable data on salivary MMP-8 results should ideally derive from non-smoking individuals. Although are results confirmed that the salivary MMP-8 level of patients with periodontitis, gingivitis and healthy periodontium is significantly different, future diagnostic meta-analyses are necessary to define exact cut-off values that can be implemented in clinical practice. In addition, the intended assessment of chair-side salivary MMP-8 level measurement was not applicable due to the limited number of studies available.

# **10. CONCLUSIONS**

# 10.1. Study I

Our systematic review and meta-analysis confirmed that patients with IBD have an increased risk of developing periodontitis, which holds true for CD and UC separately as well. Although the findings suggest a tendency for periodontitis to be associated with an increased risk of UC, but not CD, more studies are needed to establish a definitive bidirectional association. Nevertheless, patients with IBD should be regarded as a risk population in dental care.

# 10.2. Study II

According to our statistical evaluation, patients with periodontitis or gingivitis exhibited significantly higher salivary MMP-8 levels compared to those with a healthy periodontium. Additionally, there was a significant difference between the two affected groups, with the periodontitis group showing higher MMP-8 levels than the gingivitis group. Consequently, measuring salivary MMP-8 levels may serve as a reliable method for distinguishing between periodontal health and periodontal disease, as well as for differentiating between gingivitis and periodontitis. Nonetheless, further studies are necessary to verify its reliability, particularly concerning chair-side methods.

# **11. IMPLEMENTATION FOR PRACTICE**

The management of IBD is predominantly a gastroenterological concern, but patients often remain unaware of their increased risk for other health issues, such as periodontitis, which exacerbate systemic inflammation [15]. Systemic inflammation can exacerbate disease severity, potentially triggering flare-ups, and reduce medication effectiveness. Therefore, preventing periodontal inflammation through regular dental check-ups and proper oral hygiene is vital. A multidisciplinary approach, involving both gastroenterologists and dentists, is essential. Gastroenterologists should refer patients for regular dental evaluations, while dentists should routinely inquire about IBD status to implement preventive periodontal measures. In addition, patient education should be emphasized. IBD patients should be informed about the importance of oral halth and its impact on their systemic condition. Educating patients to maintain proper oral hygiene and the need for regular dental check-ups can empower them to take proactive steps in managing their health. Integrating dental care into IBD management can significantly improve patient outcomes and quality of life.

Salivary MMP-8 level measurement can eliminate several limitations of conventional periodontal diagnostic methods, such as being time-consuming and inconvenient, thereby enhancing patient care. Moreover, it was also examined, that salivary MMP-8 levels elevate before the signs of clinical inflammation [149], and can be reduced with non-surgical periodontal therapy [49, 156, 157]. Therefore, salivary MMP-8 level measurement has the potential to be the essential part in both the prevention and treatment of periodontitis.

# **12. IMPLEMENTATION FOR RESEARCH**

Both periodontitis and IBD are multifactorial, and their complete pathogenesis contains some unanswered questions. They share some common immune-inflammatory processes, common behavioral and environmental factors, common bacterial changes and also genetic predisposition can contribute to their development. The numerous potential shared links between these diseases highlight the necessity for future research to investigate their association. Such studies are likely to expand our understanding of the pathology of each disease independently as well, which will contribute to better patient care.

Although laboratory-based techniques are considered the gold standard, chair-side rapid are also available and gaining attention. These tests offer a simpler, quicker, and more cost-effective alternative that does not require specially trained personnel. Therefore, it is recommended that standardized, high-quality studies be conducted on chair-side tests. This will allow for systematic evaluations to be performed prior to their implementation in routine clinical practice.

In addition to its various applications (diagnostics, prevention, therapy follow-up, periimplant diseases), the limitations of MMP-8 measurement should be thoroughly explored as well before clinical implementation. Systemic diseases and inflammatory conditions can alter MMP-8 levels, potentially masking or amplifying results [118, 165-167]. Therefore, it is recommended to establish studies that investigate these specific patient groups, where MMP-8 levels may not provide reliable information.

# **13. IMPLEMENTATION FOR POLICYMAKERS**

As detailed above, dentists should be integral members of the healthcare team managing IBD patients. This multidisciplinary approach should be standard practice, with all gastroenterologists being aware of the association between IBD and periodontal disease and referring their patients for periodontal screening accordingly. In addition, the costs associated with dental care, particularly periodontal therapy, can be substantial. Therefore, it is recommended that patients with IBD receive financial support for dental care, contingent upon their regular attendance at screenings.

Moreover, establishing future studies in this field, which investigate either patient outcomes or common pathological factors (such as genetics, immune-inflammatory response, and dysbiosis), is of significant importance, although these studies can be very expensive. Nevertheless, policymakers are encouraged to support research efforts that can directly enhance patient well-being.

Furthermore, the establishment of systemic databases, such as an international registry containing standardized data on oral complications associated with IBD, is of utmost importance. Such databases would be fundamental in understanding the association, drawing reliable conclusions, and facilitating the sharing of knowledge globally.

Studies have demonstrated that MMP-8 elevation can be observed in the very early stages of periodontal disease and can also assess disease progression. Therefore, MMP-8 measurement has the potential to be an effective method in periodontitis prevention. Given that periodontitis is widespread and causes serious health deficits as well as significant financial concerns in healthcare, prevention is of paramount importance. Policymakers are strongly advised to support studies focused on preventing the consequences of periodontitis, as these efforts can reduce the financial burden associated with its treatment and improve clinical outcomes for patients.

# **14. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Although meta-analyses represent a high level of evidence, they also generate new questions based on synthesized knowledge. Furthermore, meta-analyses highlight gaps in existing knowledge and help identify methodological errors in previous studies. Therefore, a deliberate, precise, and comprehensive clinical study, grounded in the results of our systematic evaluation, should be established.

My vision regarding the topic, would be implementing knowledge derived from the metaanalyses and answer emerging questions through a clinical study. Given the nature of the topic, a cohort study with a long duration would be adequate. Patients with IBD should participate in regular periodontal screenings, with their IBD status continuously monitored, including disease activity, medication, and quality of life. Participants should consistently receive the necessary periodontal treatment. Furthermore, a rapid chair-side MMP-8 test should be performed at each visit. It was shown, that MMP-8 levels increase in colorectal cancer patients [167], however, there is no data regarding IBD patients. A study utilizing this methodology could facilitate the monitoring of the impact of periodontal status on IBD and provide insights into the applicability of MMP-8 testing for IBD patients. However, ideally, future research should involve international collaborations to aggregate data from multiple IBD centers, thereby accelerating direct benefits for patients.

# **15. REFERENCES**

- Hajishengallis, G., *Periodontitis: from microbial immune subversion to systemic inflammation*. Nature Reviews Immunology, 2015. 15(1): p. 30-44.
- 2. Martínez-García, M. and E. Hernández-Lemus, *Periodontal Inflammation and Systemic Diseases: An Overview.* Front Physiol, 2021. 12: p. 709438.
- Goethel, A., K. Croitoru and D.J. Philpott, *The interplay between microbes and* the immune response in inflammatory bowel disease. J Physiol, 2018. 596(17): p. 3869-3882.
- 4. Albandar, J.M. and T.E. Rams, *Global epidemiology of periodontal diseases: an overview*. Periodontol 2000, 2002. 29: p. 7-10.
- 5. Al-Rafee, M.A., *The epidemiology of edentulism and the associated factors: A literature Review.* J Family Med Prim Care, 2020. 9(4): p. 1841-1843.
- Tyrovolas, S., A. Koyanagi, D.B. Panagiotakos, J.M. Haro, N.J. Kassebaum, V. Chrepa, et al., *Population prevalence of edentulism and its association with depression and self-rated health.* Sci Rep, 2016. 6: p. 37083.
- Molodecky, N.A., I.S. Soon, D.M. Rabi, W.A. Ghali, M. Ferris, G. Chernoff, et al., *Increasing Incidence and Prevalence of the Inflammatory Bowel Diseases With Time, Based on Systematic Review.* Gastroenterology, 2012. 142(1): p. 46-54.e42.
- 8. Kwon, T., I.B. Lamster and L. Levin, *Current Concepts in the Management of Periodontitis*. Int Dent J, 2021. 71(6): p. 462-476.
- 9. Heitz-Mayfield, L.J.A., *Conventional diagnostic criteria for periodontal diseases* (plaque-induced gingivitis and periodontitis). Periodontology 2000. n/a(n/a).
- 10. Shaddox, L.M. and C.B. Walker, *Treating chronic periodontitis: current status, challenges, and future directions.* Clin Cosmet Investig Dent, 2010. 2: p. 79-91.
- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. 2020 [cited 13.06. 2022]; Available from: <u>http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool</u>

- 12. Chapple, I.L.C., B.L. Mealey, T.E. Van Dyke, P.M. Bartold, H. Dommisch, P. Eickholz, et al., *Periodontal health and gingival diseases and conditions on an intact and a reduced periodontium: Consensus report of workgroup 1 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions.* J Periodontol, 2018. 89 Suppl 1: p. S74-s84.
- Meyle, J. and I. Chapple, *Molecular aspects of the pathogenesis of periodontitis*. Periodontol 2000, 2015. 69(1): p. 7-17.
- Hajishengallis, G. and T. Chavakis, Local and systemic mechanisms linking periodontal disease and inflammatory comorbidities. Nat Rev Immunol, 2021. 21(7): p. 426-440.
- Papapanou, P.N., M. Sanz, N. Buduneli, T. Dietrich, M. Feres, D.H. Fine, et al., *Periodontitis: Consensus report of workgroup 2 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions.* J Periodontol, 2018. 89 Suppl 1: p. S173-s182.
- Page, R.C., S. Offenbacher, H.E. Schroeder, G.J. Seymour and K.S. Kornman, Advances in the pathogenesis of periodontitis: summary of developments, clinical implications and future directions. Periodontol 2000, 1997. 14: p. 216-48.
- 17. Kinane, D.F. and G.J. Marshall, *Periodontal manifestations of systemic disease*. Aust Dent J, 2001. 46(1): p. 2-12.
- Preshaw, P.M., A.L. Alba, D. Herrera, S. Jepsen, A. Konstantinidis, K. Makrilakis, et al., *Periodontitis and diabetes: a two-way relationship*. Diabetologia, 2012. 55(1): p. 21-31.
- 19. Mei, F., M. Xie, X. Huang, Y. Long, X. Lu, X. Wang, et al., *Porphyromonas gingivalis and Its Systemic Impact: Current Status.* Pathogens, 2020. 9(11).
- 20. Bartold, P.M. and I. Lopez-Oliva, *Periodontitis and rheumatoid arthritis: An update 2012-2017*. Periodontol 2000, 2020. 83(1): p. 189-212.
- Humphrey, L.L., R. Fu, D.I. Buckley, M. Freeman and M. Helfand, *Periodontal disease and coronary heart disease incidence: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* J Gen Intern Med, 2008. 23(12): p. 2079-86.

- Ide, M., M. Harris, A. Stevens, R. Sussams, V. Hopkins, D. Culliford, et al., *Periodontitis and Cognitive Decline in Alzheimer's Disease*. PLoS One, 2016. 11(3): p. e0151081.
- Ide, M. and P.N. Papapanou, Epidemiology of association between maternal periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes--systematic review. J Periodontol, 2013. 84(4 Suppl): p. S181-94.
- 24. Moghadam, S.A., M. Shirzaiy and S. Risbaf, *The Associations between Periodontitis and Respiratory Disease*. J Nepal Health Res Counc, 2017. 15(1): p. 1-6.
- Nwizu, N., J. Wactawski-Wende and R.J. Genco, *Periodontal disease and cancer:* Epidemiologic studies and possible mechanisms. Periodontol 2000, 2020. 83(1): p. 213-233.
- Sanz, M., A. Marco Del Castillo, S. Jepsen, J.R. Gonzalez-Juanatey, F. D'Aiuto,
   P. Bouchard, et al., *Periodontitis and cardiovascular diseases: Consensus report*.
   J Clin Periodontol, 2020. 47(3): p. 268-288.
- 27. Feuerstein, J.D. and A.S. Cheifetz, *Ulcerative colitis: epidemiology, diagnosis, and management.* Mayo Clin Proc, 2014. 89(11): p. 1553-63.
- Feuerstein, J.D. and A.S. Cheifetz, Crohn Disease: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Management. Mayo Clin Proc, 2017. 92(7): p. 1088-1103.
- Vavricka, S.R., A. Schoepfer, M. Scharl, P.L. Lakatos, A. Navarini and G. Rogler, *Extraintestinal Manifestations of Inflammatory Bowel Disease*. Inflamm Bowel Dis, 2015. 21(8): p. 1982-92.
- Tan, C.X., H.S. Brand, N.K. de Boer and T. Forouzanfar, Gastrointestinal diseases and their oro-dental manifestations: Part 1: Crohn's disease. Br Dent J, 2016. 221(12): p. 794-799.
- Tan, C.X., H.S. Brand, N.K. de Boer and T. Forouzanfar, Gastrointestinal diseases and their oro-dental manifestations: Part 2: Ulcerative colitis. Br Dent J, 2017. 222(1): p. 53-57.

- Sairenji, T., K.L. Collins and D.V. Evans, An Update on Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Prim Care, 2017. 44(4): p. 673-692.
- Lira-Junior, R. and C.M. Figueredo, Periodontal and inflammatory bowel diseases: Is there evidence of complex pathogenic interactions? World J Gastroenterol, 2016. 22(35): p. 7963-72.
- Loe, H., E. Theilade and S.B. Jensen, *EXPERIMENTAL GINGIVITIS IN MAN*. J Periodontol, 1965. 36: p. 177-87.
- 35. Tonetti, M.S., H. Greenwell and K.S. Kornman, *Staging and grading of periodontitis: Framework and proposal of a new classification and case definition.* J Clin Periodontol, 2018. 45 Suppl 20: p. S149-s161.
- Checchi, V., T. Maravic, P. Bellini, L. Generali, U. Consolo, L. Breschi, et al., *The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinases in Periodontal Disease*. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2020. 17(14).
- 37. Sorsa, T., U.K. Gursoy, S. Nwhator, M. Hernandez, T. Tervahartiala, J. Leppilahti, et al., Analysis of matrix metalloproteinases, especially MMP-8, in gingival creviclular fluid, mouthrinse and saliva for monitoring periodontal diseases. Periodontol 2000, 2016. 70(1): p. 142-63.
- 38. Sorsa, T., L. Tjäderhane, Y.T. Konttinen, A. Lauhio, T. Salo, H.M. Lee, et al., Matrix metalloproteinases: contribution to pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of periodontal inflammation. Ann Med, 2006. 38(5): p. 306-21.
- Sorsa, T., L. Tjäderhane and T. Salo, *Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in oral diseases*. Oral Dis, 2004. 10(6): p. 311-8.
- 40. Luchian, I., A. Goriuc, D. Sandu and M. Covasa, *The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMP-8, MMP-9, MMP-13) in Periodontal and Peri-Implant Pathological Processes.* Int J Mol Sci, 2022. 23(3).
- 41. Verstappen, J. and J.W. Von den Hoff, *Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases* (*TIMPs*): their biological functions and involvement in oral disease. J Dent Res, 2006. 85(12): p. 1074-84.

- Sorsa, T., Y.L. Ding, T. Ingman, T. Salo, U. Westerlund, M. Haapasalo, et al., *Cellular source, activation and inhibition of dental plaque collagenase.* J Clin Periodontol, 1995. 22(9): p. 709-17.
- Salminen, A., K.A. Kopra, K. Hyvärinen, S. Paju, P. Mäntylä, K. Buhlin, et al., *Quantitative PCR analysis of salivary pathogen burden in periodontitis*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 2015. 5: p. 69.
- Gursoy, U.K., E. Könönen, P. Pradhan-Palikhe, T. Tervahartiala, P.J. Pussinen,
  L. Suominen-Taipale, et al., *Salivary MMP-8, TIMP-1, and ICTP as markers of advanced periodontitis*. J Clin Periodontol, 2010. 37(6): p. 487-93.
- Romanelli, R., S. Mancini, C. Laschinger, C.M. Overall, J. Sodek and C.A. McCulloch, *Activation of neutrophil collagenase in periodontitis*. Infect Immun, 1999. 67(5): p. 2319-26.
- Gursoy, U.K., E. Könönen, S. Huumonen, T. Tervahartiala, P.J. Pussinen, A.L. Suominen, et al., Salivary type I collagen degradation end-products and related matrix metalloproteinases in periodontitis. J Clin Periodontol, 2013. 40(1): p. 18-25.
- 47. Gangbar, S., C.M. Overall, C.A. McCulloch and J. Sodek, *Identification of polymorphonuclear leukocyte collagenase and gelatinase activities in mouthrinse samples: correlation with periodontal disease activity in adult and juvenile periodontitis.* J Periodontal Res, 1990. 25(5): p. 257-67.
- 48. Golub, L.M., H.M. Lee, R.A. Greenwald, M.E. Ryan, T. Sorsa, T. Salo, et al., *A* matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor reduces bone-type collagen degradation fragments and specific collagenases in gingival crevicular fluid during adult periodontitis. Inflamm Res, 1997. 46(8): p. 310-9.
- 49. Ingman, T., T. Tervahartiala, Y. Ding, H. Tschesche, A. Haerian, D.F. Kinane, et al., *Matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in gingival crevicular fluid and saliva of periodontitis patients.* J Clin Periodontol, 1996. 23(12): p. 1127-32.

- 50. Kiili, M., S.W. Cox, H.Y. Chen, J. Wahlgren, P. Maisi, B.M. Eley, et al., Collagenase-2 (MMP-8) and collagenase-3 (MMP-13) in adult periodontitis: molecular forms and levels in gingival crevicular fluid and immunolocalisation in gingival tissue. J Clin Periodontol, 2002. 29(3): p. 224-32.
- 51. Sorsa, T., M. Hernández, J. Leppilahti, S. Munjal, L. Netuschil and P. Mäntylä, *Detection of gingival crevicular fluid MMP-8 levels with different laboratory and chair-side methods.* Oral Dis, 2010. 16(1): p. 39-45.
- 52. Sorsa, T., V.J. Uitto, K. Suomalainen, M. Vauhkonen and S. Lindy, *Comparison* of interstitial collagenases from human gingiva, sulcular fluid and polymorphonuclear leukocytes. J Periodontal Res, 1988. 23(6): p. 386-93.
- Uitto, V.J., K. Tryggvason and T. Sorsa, *Collagenolytic enzymes in periodontal diseases*. Proc Finn Dent Soc, 1987. 83(3): p. 119-30.
- 54. Zhang, L., X. Li, H. Yan and L. Huang, Salivary matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-8 as a biomarker for periodontitis: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore), 2018. 97(3): p. e9642.
- Kaufman, E. and I.B. Lamster, *The diagnostic applications of saliva--a review*. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med, 2002. 13(2): p. 197-212.
- 56. Veerman, E.C., P.A. van den Keybus, A. Vissink and A.V. Nieuw Amerongen, *Human glandular salivas: their separate collection and analysis*. Eur J Oral Sci, 1996. 104(4 (Pt 1)): p. 346-52.
- Salazar, M.G., N. Jehmlich, A. Murr, V.M. Dhople, B. Holtfreter, E. Hammer, et al., *Identification of periodontitis associated changes in the proteome of whole human saliva by mass spectrometric analysis*. J Clin Periodontol, 2013. 40(9): p. 825-32.
- 58. Hernández, M., M. Baeza, I.T. Räisänen, J. Contreras, T. Tervahartiala, A. Chaparro, et al., Active MMP-8 Quantitative Test as an Adjunctive Tool for Early Diagnosis of Periodontitis. Diagnostics (Basel), 2021. 11(8): p. 1503.
- 59. Johnson, N., J.L. Ebersole, R.J. Kryscio, R.J. Danaher, D. Dawson Iii, M. Al-Sabbagh, et al., *Rapid assessment of salivary MMP-8 and periodontal disease using lateral flow immunoassay.* Oral Diseases, 2016. 22(7): p. 681-687.

- Al-Majid, A., S. Alassiri, N. Rathnayake, T. Tervahartiala, D.R. Gieselmann and T. Sorsa, *Matrix Metalloproteinase-8 as an Inflammatory and Prevention Biomarker in Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases*. Int J Dent, 2018. 2018: p. 7891323.
- 61. Öztürk, V., G. Emingil, K. Umeizudike, T. Tervahartiala, D.R. Gieselmann, K. Maier, et al., *Evaluation of active matrix metalloproteinase-8 (aMMP-8) chairside test as a diagnostic biomarker in the staging of periodontal diseases.* Arch Oral Biol, 2021. 124: p. 104955.
- 62. Räisänen, I.T., H. Lähteenmäki, S. Gupta, A. Grigoriadis, V. Sahni, J. Suojanen, et al., *An aMMP-8 Point-of-Care and Questionnaire Based Real-Time Diagnostic Toolkit for Medical Practitioners*. Diagnostics (Basel), 2021. 11(4).
- Romero-Castro, N.S., M. Vázquez-Villamar, J.F. Muñoz-Valle, S. Reyes-Fernández, V.O. Serna-Radilla, S. García-Arellano, et al., *Relationship between TNF-α*, *MMP-8*, and *MMP-9 levels in gingival crevicular fluid and the* subgingival microbiota in periodontal disease. Odontology, 2020. 108(1): p. 25-33.
- 64. Sorsa, T., P. Mäntylä, H. Rönkä, P. Kallio, G.B. Kallis, C. Lundqvist, et al., Scientific basis of a matrix metalloproteinase-8 specific chair-side test for monitoring periodontal and peri-implant health and disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1999. 878: p. 130-40.
- 65. Leppilahti, J.M., M.M. Ahonen, M. Hernández, S. Munjal, L. Netuschil, V.J. Uitto, et al., *Oral rinse MMP-8 point-of-care immuno test identifies patients with strong periodontal inflammatory burden*. Oral Dis, 2011. 17(1): p. 115-22.
- 66. Mäntylä, P., M. Stenman, D.F. Kinane, S. Tikanoja, H. Luoto, T. Salo, et al., Gingival crevicular fluid collagenase-2 (MMP-8) test stick for chair-side monitoring of periodontitis. J Periodontal Res, 2003. 38(4): p. 436-9.
- 67. Lähteenmäki, H., K.A. Umeizudike, A.M. Heikkinen, I.T. Räisänen, N. Rathnayake, G. Johannsen, et al., aMMP-8 Point-of-Care/Chairside Oral Fluid Technology as a Rapid, Non-Invasive Tool for Periodontitis and Peri-Implantitis Screening in a Medical Care Setting. Diagnostics (Basel), 2020. 10(8).

- 68. Sorsa, T., J. Bacigalupo, M. Könönen, P. Pärnänen and I.T. Räisänen, *Host-Modulation Therapy and Chair-Side Diagnostics in the Treatment of Peri-Implantitis.* Biosensors (Basel), 2020. 10(5).
- Page, M.J., J.E. McKenzie, P.M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T.C. Hoffmann, C.D. Mulrow, et al., *The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting* systematic reviews. BMJ, 2021. 372: p. n71.
- 70. Julian Higgins, J.T., Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, Version 6.3, 2022.
- Domokos, Z., E. Uhrin, B. Szabó, M.L. Czumbel, F. Dembrovszky, B. Kerémi, et al., *Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have a higher chance of developing periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis.* Front Med (Lausanne), 2022. 9: p. 1020126.
- 72. Domokos, Z., F. Simon, E. Uhrin, B. Szabó, S. Váncsa, G. Varga, et al., Evaluating salivary MMP-8 as a biomarker for periodontal diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Heliyon, 2024. 10(22).
- 73. Ga Wells, B.S.D.O.C.J.P.V.W.M.L.P.T. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2021]; Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical epidemiology/oxford.asp
- 74. Holger Schünemann, J.B.G.G. and O. Andrew, *GRADE Handbook*. 2013.
- 75. Mantel, N. and W. Haenszel, *Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 1959. 22(4): p. 719-48.
- 76. Robins, J., S. Greenland and N.E. Breslow, *A general estimator for the variance of the Mantel-Haenszel odds ratio.* Am J Epidemiol, 1986. 124(5): p. 719-23.
- 77. Thompson, S.G., R.M. Turner and D.E. Warn, *Multilevel models for metaanalysis, and their application to absolute risk differences.* Stat Methods Med Res, 2001. 10(6): p. 375-92.
- Sweeting, M.J., A.J. Sutton and P.C. Lambert, What to add to nothing? Use and avoidance of continuity corrections in meta-analysis of sparse data. Stat Med, 2004. 23(9): p. 1351-75.

- 79. Valentine, H.C.L.V.H.J.C., *The Handbook of Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis.* 2nd ed. 2nd,2009: Russel Sage Foundation.
- 80. IntHout, J., J.P. Ioannidis and G.F. Borm, *The Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman* method for random effects meta-analysis is straightforward and considerably outperforms the standard DerSimonian-Laird method. BMC Med Res Methodol, 2014. 14: p. 25.
- 81. Knapp, G. and J. Hartung, *Improved tests for a random effects meta-regression with a single covariate*. Stat Med, 2003. 22(17): p. 2693-710.
- Paule, R.C. and J. Mandel, Consensus Values, Regressions, and Weighting Factors. J Res Natl Inst Stand Technol, 1989. 94(3): p. 197-203.
- 83. Veroniki, A.A., D. Jackson, W. Viechtbauer, R. Bender, J. Bowden, G. Knapp, et al., *Methods to estimate the between-study variance and its uncertainty in metaanalysis.* Res Synth Methods, 2016. 7(1): p. 55-79.
- Higgins, J.P. and S.G. Thompson, *Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis*. Stat Med, 2002. 21(11): p. 1539-58.
- 85. Harrer, M.C.P.F.T. and D. Ebert, *Doing Meta-Analysis with R: A Hands-On Guide. 1st ed.* 2021, Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 86. Viechtbauer, W. and M.W. Cheung, *Outlier and influence diagnostics for metaanalysis*. Res Synth Methods, 2010. 1(2): p. 112-25.
- 87. Sterne, J.A., A.J. Sutton, J.P. Ioannidis, N. Terrin, D.R. Jones, J. Lau, et al., *Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in metaanalyses of randomised controlled trials.* Bmj, 2011. 343: p. d4002.
- RcoreTeam. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2022];
   Available from: <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- 89. Balduzzi, S., G. Rücker and G. Schwarzer, *How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial.* Evid Based Ment Health, 2019. 22(4): p. 153-160.
- 90. Harrer, M.C.P.F.T. and D.D. Ebert. *dmetar: Companion R Package For The Guide 'Doing Meta-Analysis in R'. R package version 0.0.9000.* 2019]; Available from: <u>http://dmetar.protectlab.org/</u>.

- 91. Viechtbauer, W., *Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package*. Journal of Statistical Software, 2010. 36(3): p. 1 48.
- 92. Brito, F., F.C. de Barros, C. Zaltman, A.T. Carvalho, A.J. Carneiro, R.G. Fischer, et al., *Prevalence of periodontitis and DMFT index in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.* J Clin Periodontol, 2008. 35(6): p. 555-60.
- 93. Chi, Y.C., J.L. Chen, L.H. Wang, K. Chang, C.L. Wu, S.Y. Lin, et al., *Increased* risk of periodontitis among patients with Crohn's disease: a population-based matched-cohort study. Int J Colorectal Dis, 2018. 33(10): p. 1437-1444.
- 94. Grössner-Schreiber, B., T. Fetter, J. Hedderich, T. Kocher, S. Schreiber and S. Jepsen, Prevalence of dental caries and periodontal disease in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study. J Clin Periodontol, 2006. 33(7): p. 478-84.
- 95. Habashneh, R.A., Y.S. Khader, M.K. Alhumouz, K. Jadallah and Y. Ajlouni, *The* association between inflammatory bowel disease and periodontitis among *Jordanians: a case-control study.* J Periodontal Res, 2012. 47(3): p. 293-8.
- 96. Kang, E.A., J. Chun, J.H. Kim, K. Han, H. Soh, S. Park, et al., *Periodontitis combined with smoking increases risk of the ulcerative colitis: A national cohort study.* World J Gastroenterol, 2020. 26(37): p. 5661-5672.
- 97. Koutsochristou, V., A. Zellos, K. Dimakou, I. Panayotou, S. Siahanidou, E. Roma-Giannikou, et al., *Dental Caries and Periodontal Disease in Children and Adolescents with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Case-Control Study.* Inflamm Bowel Dis, 2015. 21(8): p. 1839-46.
- 98. Lin, C.Y., K.S. Tseng, J.M. Liu, H.C. Chuang, C.H. Lien, Y.C. Chen, et al., Increased Risk of Ulcerative Colitis in Patients with Periodontal Disease: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2018. 15(11).
- 99. Schmidt, J., M. Weigert, C. Leuschner, H. Hartmann, D. Raddatz, R. Haak, et al., Active matrix metalloproteinase-8 and periodontal bacteria-interlink between periodontitis and inflammatory bowel disease? J Periodontol, 2018. 89(6): p. 699-707.

- 100. Slebioda, Z., E. Szponar and K. Linke, Comparative analysis of the oral cavity status in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Journal of Stomatology, 2011. 64: p. 212-224.
- 101. Tan, C.X.W., H.S. Brand, B. Kalender, N.K.H. De Boer, T. Forouzanfar and J. de Visscher, *Dental and periodontal disease in patients with inflammatory bowel disease*. Clin Oral Investig, 2021. 25(9): p. 5273-5280.
- 102. Vavricka, S.R., C.N. Manser, S. Hediger, M. Vögelin, M. Scharl, L. Biedermann, et al., *Periodontitis and gingivitis in inflammatory bowel disease: a case-control study.* Inflamm Bowel Dis, 2013. 19(13): p. 2768-77.
- Yu, H.C., T.P. Chen and Y.C. Chang, *Inflammatory bowel disease as a risk factor* for periodontitis under Taiwanese National Health Insurance Research database. J Dent Sci, 2018. 13(3): p. 242-247.
- 104. Zervou, F., A. Gikas, E. Merikas, G. Peros, M. Sklavaina, J. Loukopoulos, et al., Oral manifestations of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Annals of Gastroenterology, 2004. 17.
- 105. Zhang, L., X. Gao, J. Zhou, S. Chen, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, et al., Increased risks of dental caries and periodontal disease in Chinese patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Int Dent J, 2020. 70(3): p. 227-236.
- 106. Akbari, G., M.L. Prabhuji, B.V. Karthikeyan, K. Raghunatha and R. Narayanan, Analysis of matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels in gingival crevicular fluid and whole mouth fluid among smokers and nonsmokers using enzyme-linked immunesorbent assay and a novel chair-side test. J Indian Soc Periodontol, 2015. 19(5): p. 525-30.
- 107. Bostanci, N., K. Mitsakakis, B. Afacan, K. Bao, B. Johannsen, D. Baumgartner, et al., Validation and verification of predictive salivary biomarkers for oral health. Sci Rep, 2021. 11(1): p. 6406.
- 108. Christodoulides, N., P.N. Floriano, C.S. Miller, J.L. Ebersole, S. Mohanty, P. Dharshan, et al., *Lab-on-a-chip methods for point-of-care measurements of salivary biomarkers of periodontitis*. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2007. 1098: p. 411-28.

- 109. Ebersole, J., R. Nagarajan, D. Akers and C. Miller, *Targeted salivary biomarkers for discrimination of periodontal health and disease(s)*. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 2015. 5.
- 110. Ebersole, J.L., J.L. Schuster, J. Stevens, D. Dawson, 3rd, R.J. Kryscio, Y. Lin, et al., *Patterns of salivary analytes provide diagnostic capacity for distinguishing chronic adult periodontitis from health.* J Clin Immunol, 2013. 33(1): p. 271-9.
- 111. Gupta, N., N.D. Gupta, A. Gupta, S. Khan and N. Bansal, *Role of salivary matrix metalloproteinase-8 (MMP-8) in chronic periodontitis diagnosis.* Front Med, 2015. 9(1): p. 72-6.
- 112. Keles Yucel, Z.P., B. Afacan, G. Emingil, T. Tervahartiala, T. Kose and T. Sorsa, Local and systemic levels of aMMP-8 in gingivitis and stage 3 grade C periodontitis. J Periodontal Res, 2020. 55(6): p. 887-894.
- 113. Lee, J., J.-B. Lee, H.-Y. Song, M.J. Son, L. Li, I.-C. Rhyu, et al., Diagnostic Models for Screening of Periodontitis with Inflammatory Mediators and Microbial Profiles in Saliva. Diagnostics, 2020. 10(10): p. 820.
- 114. Mauramo, M., E. Mauramo, T. Sorsa, T. Tervahartiala, I.T. Räisänen and T. Waltimo, *Human leukocyte antigens are associated with salivary level of active MMP-8*. Clin Exp Dent Res, 2021. 7(5): p. 833-839.
- 115. Miller, C.S., C.P. King, Jr., M.C. Langub, R.J. Kryscio and M.V. Thomas, Salivary biomarkers of existing periodontal disease: a cross-sectional study. J Am Dent Assoc, 2006. 137(3): p. 322-9.
- 116. Nizam, N., P. Gümüş, J. Pitkänen, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa and N. Buduneli, Serum and salivary matrix metalloproteinases, neutrophil elastase, myeloperoxidase in patients with chronic or aggressive periodontitis. Inflammation, 2014. 37(5): p. 1771-8.
- 117. Noack, B., T. Kipping, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa, T. Hoffmann and K. Lorenz, Association between serum and oral matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels and periodontal health status. J Periodontal Res, 2017. 52(5): p. 824-831.
- Rai, B., S. Kharb, R. Jain and S.C. Anand, *Biomarkers of periodontitis in oral fluids*. J Oral Sci, 2008. 50(1): p. 53-6.

- 119. Ramseier, C.A., J.S. Kinney, A.E. Herr, T. Braun, J.V. Sugai, C.A. Shelburne, et al., *Identification of pathogen and host-response markers correlated with periodontal disease.* J Periodontol, 2009. 80(3): p. 436-46.
- 120. Rangbulla, V., A. Nirola, M. Gupta, P. Batra and M. Gupta, Salivary IgA, Interleukin-1β and MMP-8 as Salivary Biomarkers in Chronic Periodontitis Patients. Chin J Dent Res, 2017. 20(1): p. 43-51.
- 121. Umeizudike, K.A., H. Lähteenmäki, I.T. Räisänen, J.J. Taylor, P.M. Preshaw, S.M. Bissett, et al., *Ability of matrix metalloproteinase-8 biosensor, IFMA, and ELISA immunoassays to differentiate between periodontal health, gingivitis, and periodontitis.* Journal of periodontal research, 2022. 57(3): p. 558-567.
- 122. Zhang, Y., N. Kang, F. Xue, J. Qiao, J. Duan, F. Chen, et al., *Evaluation of salivary biomarkers for the diagnosis of periodontitis*. BMC Oral Health, 2021. 21(1): p. 266.
- 123. Lee, J., J.B. Lee, H.Y. Song, M.J. Son, L. Li, I.C. Rhyu, et al., Diagnostic Models for Screening of Periodontitis with Inflammatory Mediators and Microbial Profiles in Saliva. Diagnostics (Basel), 2020. 10(10): p. 820.
- 124. Ebersole, J.L., R. Nagarajan, D. Akers and C.S. Miller, *Targeted salivary biomarkers for discrimination of periodontal health and disease(s)*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 2015. 5: p. 62.
- 125. Johnson, N., J.L. Ebersole, R.J. Kryscio, R.J. Danaher, D. Dawson, 3rd, M. Al-Sabbagh, et al., *Rapid assessment of salivary MMP-8 and periodontal disease* using lateral flow immunoassay. Oral Dis, 2016. 22(7): p. 681-7.
- 126. Lorenzo-Pouso, A.I., P. Castelo-Baz, S. Rodriguez-Zorrilla, M. Pérez-Sayáns and P. Vega, Association between periodontal disease and inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Odontol Scand, 2021. 79(5): p. 344-353.
- 127. Papageorgiou, S.N., M. Hagner, A.V. Nogueira, A. Franke, A. Jäger and J. Deschner, *Inflammatory bowel disease and oral health: systematic review and a meta-analysis*. J Clin Periodontol, 2017. 44(4): p. 382-393.

- 128. She, Y.Y., X.B. Kong, Y.P. Ge, Z.Y. Liu, J.Y. Chen, J.W. Jiang, et al., *Periodontitis and inflammatory bowel disease: a meta-analysis.* BMC Oral Health, 2020. 20(1): p. 67.
- 129. Zhang, Y., D. Qiao, R. Chen, F. Zhu, J. Gong and F. Yan, *The Association between Periodontitis and Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.* Biomed Res Int, 2021. 2021: p. 6692420.
- 130. Wang, Q., S. Chen, J. Zhou and L. Zhao, Bidirectional associations between periodontitis and inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review of longitudinal studies with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. Journal of Periodontal Research. n/a(n/a).
- 131. Sartor, R.B., *Mechanisms of disease: pathogenesis of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.* Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2006. 3(7): p. 390-407.
- 132. Bouma, G. and W. Strober, *The immunological and genetic basis of inflammatory bowel disease*. Nat Rev Immunol, 2003. 3(7): p. 521-33.
- 133. de Mello-Neto, J.M., J.G.R. Nunes, S.K. Tadakamadla and C.M. da Silva Figueredo, *Immunological Traits of Patients with Coexistent Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Periodontal Disease: A Systematic Review.* Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2021. 18(17).
- 134. Baima, G., A. Massano, E. Squillace, G.P. Caviglia, N. Buduneli, D.G. Ribaldone, et al., Shared microbiological and immunological patterns in periodontitis and IBD: A scoping review. Oral Dis, 2022. 28(4): p. 1029-1041.
- 135. Curtis, M.A., P.I. Diaz and T.E. Van Dyke, *The role of the microbiota in periodontal disease*. Periodontol 2000, 2020. 83(1): p. 14-25.
- Genco, R.J. and W.S. Borgnakke, *Risk factors for periodontal disease*. Periodontol 2000, 2013. 62(1): p. 59-94.
- Zhang, Y.Z. and Y.Y. Li, *Inflammatory bowel disease: pathogenesis*. World J Gastroenterol, 2014. 20(1): p. 91-9.

- 138. Indriolo, A., S. Greco, P. Ravelli and S. Fagiuoli, What can we learn about biofilm/host interactions from the study of inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Periodontol, 2011. 38 Suppl 11: p. 36-43.
- 139. Darby, I., Risk factors for periodontitis & peri-implantitis. Periodontol 2000, 2022. 90(1): p. 9-12.
- 140. Ribaldone, D.G., S. Brigo, M. Mangia, G.M. Saracco, M. Astegiano and R. Pellicano, Oral Manifestations of Inflammatory Bowel Disease and the Role of Non-Invasive Surrogate Markers of Disease Activity. Medicines (Basel), 2020. 7(6).
- 141. Alassiri, S., P. Parnanen, N. Rathnayake, G. Johannsen, A.-M. Heikkinen, R. Lazzara, et al., *The Ability of Quantitative, Specific, and Sensitive Point-of-Care/Chair-Side Oral Fluid Immunotests for aMMP-8 to Detect Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases*. Disease Markers, 2018. 2018: p. 1306396.
- 142. Heikkinen, A.M., S.O. Nwhator, N. Rathnayake, P. Mäntylä, P. Vatanen and T. Sorsa, *Pilot Study on Oral Health Status as Assessed by an Active Matrix Metalloproteinase-8 Chairside Mouthrinse Test in Adolescents.* J Periodontol, 2016. 87(1): p. 36-40.
- 143. Izadi Borujeni, S., M. Mayer and P. Eickholz, Activated matrix metalloproteinase-8 in saliva as diagnostic test for periodontal disease? A case-control study. Med Microbiol Immunol, 2015. 204(6): p. 665-72.
- 144. Lorenz, K., T. Keller, B. Noack, A. Freitag, L. Netuschil and T. Hoffmann, Evaluation of a novel point-of-care test for active matrix metalloproteinase-8: agreement between qualitative and quantitative measurements and relation to periodontal inflammation. J Periodontal Res, 2017. 52(2): p. 277-284.
- 145. Nwhator, S.O., P.O. Ayanbadejo, K.A. Umeizudike, O.I. Opeodu, G.A. Agbelusi, J.A. Olamijulo, et al., *Clinical correlates of a lateral-flow immunoassay oral risk indicator*. J Periodontol, 2014. 85(1): p. 188-94.

- 146. Räisänen, I.T., A.M. Heikkinen, S.O. Nwhator, K.A. Umeizudike, T. Tervahartiala and T. Sorsa, On the diagnostic discrimination ability of mouthrinse and salivary aMMP-8 point-of-care testing regarding periodontal health and disease. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2019. 95(4): p. 114871.
- 147. Räisänen, I.T., A.M. Heikkinen, E. Pakbaznejad Esmaeili, T. Tervahartiala, R. Pajukanta, A. Silbereisen, et al., A point-of-care test of active matrix metalloproteinase-8 predicts triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 (TREM-1) levels in saliva. Journal of Periodontology, 2020. 91(1): p. 102-109.
- 148. Schmalz, G., A.E. Hübscher, H. Angermann, J. Schmidt, J. Schmickler, T.J. Legler, et al., *Associations of chairside salivary aMMP-8 findings with periodontal parameters, potentially periodontal pathogenic bacteria and selected blood parameters in systemically healthy adults.* Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2019. 95(2): p. 179-184.
- 149. Sorsa, T., S. Alassiri, A. Grigoriadis, I.T. Räisänen, P. Pärnänen, S.O. Nwhator, et al., Active MMP-8 (aMMP-8) as a Grading and Staging Biomarker in the Periodontitis Classification. Diagnostics (Basel), 2020. 10(2).
- 150. Alfant, B., L.M. Shaddox, J. Tobler, I. Magnusson, I. Aukhil and C. Walker, Matrix metalloproteinase levels in children with aggressive periodontitis. J Periodontol, 2008. 79(5): p. 819-26.
- 151. Leppilahti, J.M., P.A. Hernández-Ríos, J.A. Gamonal, T. Tervahartiala, R. Brignardello-Petersen, P. Mantyla, et al., *Matrix metalloproteinases and myeloperoxidase in gingival crevicular fluid provide site-specific diagnostic value for chronic periodontitis.* J Clin Periodontol, 2014. 41(4): p. 348-356.
- 152. Leppilahti, J.M., M.A. Kallio, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa and P. Mäntylä, *Gingival* crevicular fluid matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels predict treatment outcome among smokers with chronic periodontitis. J Periodontol, 2014. 85(2): p. 250-260.
- 153. Mäntylä, P., M. Stenman, D. Kinane, T. Salo, K. Suomalainen, S. Tikanoja, et al., Monitoring periodontal disease status in smokers and nonsmokers using a gingival crevicular fluid matrix metalloproteinase-8-specific chair-side test. J Periodontal Res, 2006. 41(6): p. 503-512.
- 154. Arias-Bujanda, N., A. Regueira-Iglesias, C. Balsa-Castro, L. Nibali, N. Donos and I. Tomás, Accuracy of single molecular biomarkers in gingival crevicular fluid for the diagnosis of periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Periodontol, 2019. 46(12): p. 1166-1182.
- 155. Raivisto, T., T. Sorsa, I. Räisänen, T. Kauppila, H. Ruokonen, T. Tervahartiala, et al., *Active Matrix Metalloproteinase-8 Chair Side Mouth Rinse Test, Health Behaviour and Oral Health in Finnish Adolescent Cohort.* JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH, 2020. 14.
- 156. Chen, H.Y., S.W. Cox, B.M. Eley, P. Mäntylä, H. Rönkä and T. Sorsa, *Matrix metalloproteinase-8 levels and elastase activities in gingival crevicular fluid from chronic adult periodontitis patients*. J Clin Periodontol, 2000. 27(5): p. 366-9.
- 157. Leppilahti, J.M., T. Sorsa, M.A. Kallio, T. Tervahartiala, G. Emingil, B. Han, et al., *The utility of gingival crevicular fluid matrix metalloproteinase-8 response patterns in prediction of site-level clinical treatment outcome.* J Periodontol, 2015. 86(6): p. 777-87.
- 158. Mauramo, M., A.M. Ramseier, E. Mauramo, A. Buser, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa, et al., Associations of oral fluid MMP-8 with periodontitis in Swiss adult subjects. Oral Dis, 2018. 24(3): p. 449-455.
- 159. Kinane, D.F., *Causation and pathogenesis of periodontal disease*. Periodontol 2000, 2001. 25: p. 8-20.
- 160. Marcaccini, A.M., C.A. Meschiari, L.R. Zuardi, T.S. De Sousa, M. Taba Jr, J.M. Teofilo, et al., *Gingival crevicular fluid levels of MMP-8, MMP-9, TIMP-2, and MPO decrease after periodontal therapy.* Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2010. 37(2): p. 180-190.
- 161. Räisänen, I.T., N. Aji, D. Sakellari, A. Grigoriadis, I. Rantala, T. Pätilä, et al., Active Matrix Metalloproteinase-8 (aMMP-8) Versus Total MMP-8 in Periodontal and Peri-Implant Disease Point-of-Care Diagnostics. Biomedicines, 2023. 11(11).

- 162. Rathnayake, N., D.-R. Gieselmann, A.M. Heikkinen, T. Tervahartiala and T. Sorsa, Salivary Diagnostics—Point-of-Care diagnostics of MMP-8 in dentistry and medicine. Diagnostics, 2017. 7(1): p. 7.
- 163. Guarnieri, R., A. Zanza, M. D'Angelo, D. Di Nardo, A. Del Giudice, A. Mazzoni, et al., Correlation between Peri-Implant Marginal Bone Loss Progression and Peri-Implant Sulcular Fluid Levels of Metalloproteinase-8. J Pers Med, 2022. 12(1).
- Xanthopoulou, V., I. Räisänen, T. Sorsa and D. Sakellari, *Active MMP-8 as a Biomarker of Peri-implant Health or Disease*. Eur J Dent, 2023. 17(3): p. 924-928.
- 165. Sorsa, T., U.K. Gursoy, S. Nwhator, M. Hernandez, T. Tervahartiala, J. Leppilahti, et al., Analysis of matrix metalloproteinases, especially MMP-8, in gingival crevicular fluid, mouthrinse and saliva for monitoring periodontal diseases. Periodontology 2000, 2016. 70(1): p. 142-163.
- 166. Raitio, A., H. Tuomas, N. Kokkonen, T. Salo, T. Sorsa, R. Hanemaaijer, et al., Levels of matrix metalloproteinase-2, -9 and -8 in the skin, serum and saliva of smokers and non-smokers. Arch Dermatol Res, 2005. 297(6): p. 242-8.
- 167. Sirniö, P., A. Tuomisto, T. Tervahartiala, T. Sorsa, K. Klintrup, T. Karhu, et al., High-serum MMP-8 levels are associated with decreased survival and systemic inflammation in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer, 2018. 119(2): p. 213-219.

## **16. BIBLIOGRAPHY**

## 16.1. Publications related to thesis

**Domokos Z**, Uhrin E, Szabó B, Czumbel ML, Dembrovszky F, Kerémi B, Varga G, Hegyi P, Hermann P and Németh O (2022) Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have a higher chance of developing periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Front. Med. 9:1020126. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1020126

Q1, IF: 3.9

**Domokos Z**, Simon F, Uhrin E, Szabó B, Váncsa S, Varga G, Hegyi P, Kerémi B, Németh O. Evaluating salivary MMP-8 as a biomarker for periodontal diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Heliyon. 2024 Nov 14;10(22):e40402.

doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e40402

Q1, IF: 3.4

## 16.2. Publications not related to thesis

Uhrin E, **Domokos Z**, Czumbel LM, Kói T, Hegyi P, Hermann P, Borbély J, Cavalcante BGN, Németh O. Teledentistry: A Future Solution in the Diagnosis of Oral Lesions: Diagnostic Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Telemed J E Health. 2023 Nov;29(11):1591-1600. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2022.0426.

Q1, IF: 2.8

## **17. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those without whom this scientific work and thesis would not have been possible.

I extend my profound appreciation to my supervisors for their ivaluable professional guidance and inspiration. I am deeply grateful to Gábor Varga, Prof., Ph.D., D.Sc., MAE, the head of the doctoral program, for being available to provide me personal and scientific support, which has been instrumental in enabling me to continue my academic journey and complete this thesis. I thank to Dr. Orsolya Németh, DMD, Ph.D., for recognizing my potential, encouraging me to start a scientific path, and shaping this research into a topic of academic significance.

I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks to Gábor Gerber, DMD, Ph.D, D.Sc, the Dean of the Faculty of Dentistry, for his dedication for supporting my scientific career. Despite his extensive responsibilities, he provided both personal encouragement and institutional backing, including facilitating the publication of my work through a faculty grant.

I am particularly grateful to Dr. Szilárd Váncsa, MD, Ph.D., my scientific methodology supervisor, for his exceptional support and guidance throughout my work. From the development of ideas to the publication of results, his expertise and guidance were invaluable in overcoming challenges and achieving success in each project.

My sincere thanks also go to my statistician, Bence Szabó, Ph.D., whose patient and thorough explanations greatly helped me understand the complex field of statistics.

I would like to acknowledge and express my gratitude to Professor Péter Hegyi, MD, Ph.D., D.Sc., MAE, the Director of the Centre for Translational Medicine, for establishing an environment that supports young researchers in multiple ways and for giving me the opportunity to be part of this inspiring program.

I would like to extend my gratitude to all my colleagues whose collaboration, support, and inspiration have significantly contributed to my journey.

Finally, but most imporatntly, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my family for their constant support and encouragement throughout these often challenging yet ultimately rewarding years.